arXiv:1403.7077v1 [math.QA] 27 Mar 2014

Hom-L-R-smash products, Hom-diagonal crossed products and the Drinfeld double of a Hom-Hopf algebra

Abdenacer Makhlouf
Université de Haute Alsace,
Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Informatique et Applications,
4, Rue des Frères Lumière, F-68093 Mulhouse, France
e-mail: Abdenacer.Makhlouf@uha.fr

Florin Panaite*
Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy
PO-Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania
e-mail: Florin.Panaite@imar.ro

Abstract

We introduce the Hom-analogue of the L-R-smash product and use it to define the Hom-analogue of the diagonal crossed product. When H is a finite dimensional Hom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and bijective structure map, we define the Drinfeld double of H; its algebra structure is a Hom-diagonal crossed product and it has all expected properties, namely it is quasitriangular and modules over it coincide with left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H.

Introduction

Hom-type algebras appeared first in physical contexts, in connection with twisted, discretized or deformed derivatives and corresponding generalizations, discretizations and deformations of vector fields and differential calculus (see [1, 2, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 26, 27, 30]). These papers dealt mainly with q-deformations of Heisenberg algebras (oscillator algebras), the Virasoro algebra and quantum conformal algebras, applied in Physics within string theory, vertex operator models, quantum scattering, lattice models and other contexts.

In [24, 29] the authors showed that a new quasi-deformation scheme leads from Lie algebras to a broader class of quasi-Lie algebras and subclasses of quasi-Hom-Lie algebras and Hom-Lie algebras. The study of the class of Hom-Lie algebras, generalizing usual Lie algebras and where the Jacobi identity is twisted by a linear map, has become an active area of research. The corresponding associative algebras, called Hom-associative algebras, where introduced in [34] and it was shown that a commutator of a Hom-associative algebra gives rise to a Hom-Lie algebra. For further results see [3, 22, 23, 33, 35, 43, 45, 49]. The coalgebra counterpart and the related notions of Hom-bialgebra and Hom-Hopf algebra were introduced in [36, 37] and some of their properties, extending properties of bialgebras and Hopf algebras, were described.

*Work supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0635, contract nr. 253/5.10.2011.

The original definitions of Hom-bialgebra and Hom-Hopf algebra involve two different linear maps α and β, with α twisting the associativity condition and β the coassociativity condition. Afterwards, two directions of study were developed, one considering the class such that $\beta = \alpha$, which are still called Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras (cf. [21, 38, 39, 44, 46, 47, 48]) and another one, initiated in [9], where the map α is assumed to be invertible and $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$ (these are called monoidal Hom-bialgebras and monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras). Yetter-Drinfeld modules, integrals, the Drinfeld double and Radford's biproduct have been studied for monoidal Hom-bialgebras in [15, 16, 31]. Yetter-Drinfeld modules over Hom-bialgebras were studied in [38] and we will introduce the Drinfeld double in this paper. Since Hom-bialgebras and monoidal Hom-bialgebras are different concepts, it turns out that our definitions, formulae and results are also different from the ones in [15, 31].

One of the main tools to construct examples of Hom-type algebras is the so-called "twisting principle" which was introduced by D. Yau for Hom-associative algebras and since then extended to various Hom-type algebras. It allows to construct a Hom-type algebra starting from a classical-type algebra and an algebra homomorphism.

The twisted tensor product $A \otimes_R B$ of two associative algebras A and B is a certain associative algebra structure on the vector space $A \otimes B$, defined in terms of a so-called twisting map $R : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$, having the property that it coincides with the usual tensor product algebra $A \otimes B$ if R is the usual flip map. This construction was introduced in [10, 42] and it may be regarded as a representative for the Cartesian product of noncommutative spaces, see [28, 32] for more on this subject. In [39] we generalized this construction to Hom-associative algebras: if R is a linear map $R : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$ between two Hom-associative algebras A and B satisfying some conditions (such an R is called Hom-twisting map), we can construct the so-called Hom-twisted tensor product $A \otimes_R B$, which is a Hom-associative algebra.

The L-R-smash product over a cocommutative Hopf algebra was introduced and studied in a series of papers [4, 5, 6, 7], inspired by the theory of deformation quantization. This construction was substantially generalized in [40], as follows: if H is a bialgebra or quasi-bialgebra and D is an H-bimodule algebra, the L-R-smash product is a certain associative algebra structure on $D \otimes H$, denoted by $D \natural H$. A common generalization of twisted tensor products of algebras and L-R-smash products over bialgebras was introduced in [17], under the name L-R-twisted tensor product of algebras.

The diagonal crossed product ([8, 25]) is a construction that associates to a Hopf or quasi-Hopf algebra H with bijective antipode and to an H-bimodule algebra D a certain associative algebra structure on $D \otimes H$, denoted by $D \bowtie H$. It was proved in [40] that we actually have an algebra isomorphism $D \natural H \simeq D \bowtie H$. The importance of the diagonal crossed product stems from the fact that, if H is finite dimensional and $D = H^*$, then $H^* \bowtie H$ is the algebra structure of the Drinfeld double of H.

The ultimate aim of this paper is to construct the Drinfeld double of a finite dimensional Hom-Hopf algebra H with bijective antipode and bijective structure map. We can expect from the beginning that its algebra structure has to be a Hom-analogue of a diagonal crossed product between H^* and H, but it is not clear at all a priori how to define this Hom-analogue. So, we proceed as follows. We define first the Hom-analogue of the L-R-twisted tensor product of algebras, which is a natural generalization of the Hom-twisted tensor product. It is defined as follows: if A and B are two Hom-associative algebras and $R : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$ and $Q : A \otimes B \to A \otimes B$ are linear maps satisfying some conditions, the Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product $A \,{}_Q\otimes_R B$ is a certain Hom-associative algebra structure on $A \otimes B$. The key result is Proposition 2.4, saying that if Q is bijective then the map $P := Q^{-1} \circ R : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$ is a Hom-twisting map (and

we have an algebra isomorphism $A\ {}_Q\otimes_R B \simeq A\otimes_P B$). Now if H is a Hom-bialgebra and D is an H-bimodule Hom-algebra, we can define in a natural way a Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product $D\ {}_Q\otimes_R H$, which is denoted by $D\natural H$ and called the Hom-L-R-smash product. It turns out that, under some extra hypotheses (among them, the existence of a bijective antipode on H), the map Q is bijective, so we have the Hom-twisted tensor product $D\otimes_P H$, where $P=Q^{-1}\circ R$; this will be the Hom-diagonal crossed product $D\bowtie H$ we are looking for. Moreover, if H is a finite dimensional Hom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and bijective structure map, we can build such a Hom-diagonal crossed product $H^*\bowtie H$, and this will be the algebra structure of the Drinfeld double $D(H)$.

To find the rest of the structure of $D(H)$, we define left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H, note that they form a braided monoidal category (we analyzed this in detail for left-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules in [38]), prove that the category of modules over $D(H)$ is isomorphic to the category of left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules and then transfer all the structure from ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$ to $D(H)$. It turns out that $D(H)$ is a quasitriangular Hom-Hopf algebra, as expected.

1 Preliminaries

We work over a base field k. All algebras, linear spaces etc... will be over k; unadorned $\otimes$ means $\otimes_k$. For a comultiplication $\Delta: C\to C\otimes C$ on a vector space C we use a Sweedler-type notation $\Delta(c)=c_1\otimes c_2$, for $c\in C$. Unless otherwise specified, the (co)algebras ((co)associative or not) that will appear in what follows are *not* supposed to be (co)unital, and a multiplication $\mu: V\otimes V\to V$ on a linear space V is denoted by juxtaposition: $\mu(v\otimes v')=vv'$.

We recall some concepts and results, fixing the terminology to be used throughout the paper. For Hom-structures, we use terminology as in our previous papers [38], [39].

Proposition 1.1 *([17]) Let A and B be two associative algebras and $R: B\otimes A\to A\otimes B$, $Q: A\otimes B\to A\otimes B$ two linear maps, for which we use a Sweedler-type notation $R(b\otimes a)=a_R\otimes b_R=a_r\otimes b_r$ and $Q(a\otimes b)=a_Q\otimes b_Q=a_q\otimes b_q$, for all $a\in A$, $b\in B$, satisfying the following conditions, for all $a,a'\in A$ and $b,b'\in B$:*

$$(aa')_R\otimes b_R=a_Ra'_r\otimes (b_R)_r, \tag{1.1}$$
$$a_R\otimes (bb')_R=(a_R)_r\otimes b_rb'_R, \tag{1.2}$$
$$(aa')_Q\otimes b_Q=a_qa'_Q\otimes (b_Q)_q, \tag{1.3}$$
$$a_Q\otimes (bb')_Q=(a_Q)_q\otimes b_Qb'_q, \tag{1.4}$$
$$b_R\otimes (a_R)_Q\otimes b'_Q=b_R\otimes (a_Q)_R\otimes b'_Q, \tag{1.5}$$
$$a_R\otimes (b_R)_Q\otimes a'_Q=a_R\otimes (b_Q)_R\otimes a'_Q. \tag{1.6}$$

If we define on $A\otimes B$ a multiplication by $(a\otimes b)(a'\otimes b')=a_Qa'_R\otimes b_Rb'_Q$, then this multiplication is associative. This algebra structure will be denoted by $A\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$ and will be called the L-R-twisted tensor product *of A and B afforded by the maps R and Q. In the particular case $Q=id_{A\otimes B}$, the L-R-twisted tensor product $A\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$ reduces to the twisted tensor product $A\otimes_R B$ introduced in [10], [42], whose multiplication is defined by $(a\otimes b)(a'\otimes b')=aa'_R\otimes b_Rb'$.*

Example 1.2 *Let H be a bialgebra and D an H-bimodule algebra in the usual sense, with actions $H\otimes D\to D$, $h\otimes d\mapsto h\cdot d$ and $D\otimes H\to D$, $d\otimes h\mapsto d\cdot h$. Define the linear maps*

$$R: H\otimes D\to D\otimes H,\quad R(h\otimes d)=h_1\cdot d\otimes h_2,$$

$$Q : D \otimes H \to D \otimes H, \quad Q(d \otimes h) = d \cdot h_2 \otimes h_1.$$

Then we have an L-R-twisted tensor product $D \,{}_Q\otimes_R H$, which is denoted by $D \natural H$ and is called the L-R-smash product *of D and H (cf. [40]). If we denote $d \otimes h := d \natural h$, for $d \in D$, $h \in H$, the multiplication of $D \natural H$ is given by*

$$(d \natural h)(d' \natural h') = (d \cdot h'_2)(h_1 \cdot d') \natural h_2 h'_1.$$

If H is moreover a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, we can define as well the so-called diagonal crossed product *$D \bowtie H$ (cf. [25], [8]), an associative algebra structure on $D \otimes H$ whose multiplication is defined (we denote $d \otimes h := d \bowtie h$) by*

$$(d \bowtie h)(d' \bowtie h') = d(h_1 \cdot d' \cdot S^{-1}(h_3)) \bowtie h_2 h'.$$

If the action of H on D is unital, we have $D \natural H \simeq D \bowtie H$, cf. [40].

Definition 1.3 *(i) A* Hom-associative algebra *is a triple (A, μ, α), in which A is a linear space, $\alpha : A \to A$ and $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$ are linear maps, with notation $\mu(a \otimes a') = aa'$, such that*

$$\alpha(aa') = \alpha(a)\alpha(a'), \quad (multiplicativity)$$
$$\alpha(a)(a'a'') = (aa')\alpha(a''), \quad (Hom - associativity)$$

for all $a, a', a'' \in A$. We call α the structure map *of A.*

A morphism $f : (A, \mu_A, \alpha_A) \to (B, \mu_B, \alpha_B)$ of Hom-associative algebras is a linear map $f : A \to B$ such that $\alpha_B \circ f = f \circ \alpha_A$ and $f \circ \mu_A = \mu_B \circ (f \otimes f)$.
(ii) A Hom-coassociative coalgebra *is a triple (C, Δ, α), in which C is a linear space, $\alpha : C \to C$ and $\Delta : C \to C \otimes C$ are linear maps (α is called the* structure map *of C) such that*

$$(\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ \alpha, \quad (comultiplicativity)$$
$$(\Delta \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta = (\alpha \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta. \quad (Hom - coassociativity)$$

A morphism $g : (C, \Delta_C, \alpha_C) \to (D, \Delta_D, \alpha_D)$ of Hom-coassociative coalgebras is a linear map $g : C \to D$ such that $\alpha_D \circ g = g \circ \alpha_C$ and $(g \otimes g) \circ \Delta_C = \Delta_D \circ g$.

Remark 1.4 *Assume that (A, μ_A, α_A) and (B, μ_B, α_B) are two Hom-associative algebras; then $(A \otimes B, \mu_{A\otimes B}, \alpha_A \otimes \alpha_B)$ is a Hom-associative algebra (called the tensor product of A and B), where $\mu_{A\otimes B}$ is the usual multiplication: $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = aa' \otimes bb'$.*

Definition 1.5 *Let (A, μ_A, α_A) be a Hom-associative algebra, M a linear space and $\alpha_M : M \to M$ a linear map.*
(i) ([43], [48]) A left A-module *structure on (M, α_M) consists of a linear map $A \otimes M \to M$, $a \otimes m \mapsto a \cdot m$, satisfying the conditions (for all $a, a' \in A$ and $m \in M$)*

$$\alpha_M(a \cdot m) = \alpha_A(a) \cdot \alpha_M(m), \tag{1.7}$$
$$\alpha_A(a) \cdot (a' \cdot m) = (aa') \cdot \alpha_M(m). \tag{1.8}$$

(ii) ([39]) A right A-module *structure on (M, α_M) consists of a linear map $M \otimes A \to M$, $m \otimes a \mapsto m \cdot a$, satisfying the conditions (for all $a, a' \in A$ and $m \in M$)*

$$\alpha_M(m \cdot a) = \alpha_M(m) \cdot \alpha_A(a), \tag{1.9}$$
$$(m \cdot a) \cdot \alpha_A(a') = \alpha_M(m) \cdot (aa'). \tag{1.10}$$

If (M, α_M), (N, α_N) are left (respectively right) A-modules (A-actions denoted by $\cdot$), a morphism of left (respectively right) A-modules $f : M \to N$ is a linear map with $\alpha_N \circ f = f \circ \alpha_M$ and $f(a \cdot m) = a \cdot f(m)$ (respectively $f(m \cdot a) = f(m) \cdot a$), $\forall\, a \in A,\ m \in M$.

Definition 1.6 *([36], [37]) A* Hom-bialgebra *is a quadruple (H, μ, Δ, α), in which (H, μ, α) is a Hom-associative algebra, (H, Δ, α) is a Hom-coassociative coalgebra and moreover Δ is a morphism of Hom-associative algebras.*

Thus, a Hom-bialgebra is a Hom-associative algebra (H, μ, α) endowed with a linear map $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$, with notation $\Delta(h) = h_1 \otimes h_2$, such that, for all $h, h' \in H$, we have:

$$\Delta(h_1) \otimes \alpha(h_2) = \alpha(h_1) \otimes \Delta(h_2), \tag{1.11}$$
$$\Delta(hh') = h_1 h'_1 \otimes h_2 h'_2, \tag{1.12}$$
$$\Delta(\alpha(h)) = \alpha(h_1) \otimes \alpha(h_2). \tag{1.13}$$

Proposition 1.7 *([37], [45]) (i) Let (A, μ) be an associative algebra and $\alpha : A \to A$ an algebra endomorphism. Define a new multiplication $\mu_\alpha := \alpha \circ \mu : A \otimes A \to A$. Then (A, μ_α, α) is a Hom-associative algebra, denoted by A_α.*
(ii) Let (C, Δ) be a coassociative coalgebra and $\alpha : C \to C$ a coalgebra endomorphism. Define a new comultiplication $\Delta_\alpha := \Delta \circ \alpha : C \to C \otimes C$. Then $(C, \Delta_\alpha, \alpha)$ is a Hom-coassociative coalgebra, denoted by C_α.
(iii) Let (H, μ, Δ) be a bialgebra and $\alpha : H \to H$ a bialgebra endomorphism. If we define μ_α and Δ_α as in (i) and (ii), then $H_\alpha = (H, \mu_\alpha, \Delta_\alpha, \alpha)$ is a Hom-bialgebra.

Proposition 1.8 *([48]) Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H)$ be a Hom-bialgebra. If (M, α_M) and (N, α_N) are left H-modules, then $(M \otimes N, \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_N)$ is also a left H-module, with H-action defined by $H \otimes (M \otimes N) \to M \otimes N$, $h \otimes (m \otimes n) \mapsto h \cdot (m \otimes n) := h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n$.*

Definition 1.9 *([43]) Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H)$ be a Hom-bialgebra. A Hom-associative algebra (A, μ_A, α_A) is called a* left H-module Hom-algebra *if (A, α_A) is a left H-module, with action denoted by $H \otimes A \to A$, $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$, such that the following condition is satisfied:*

$$\alpha_H^2(h) \cdot (aa') = (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot a'), \quad \forall\, h \in H,\ a, a' \in A. \tag{1.14}$$

Proposition 1.10 *([43]) Let (H, μ_H, Δ_H) be a bialgebra and (A, μ_A) a left H-module algebra in the usual sense, with action denoted by $H \otimes A \to A$, $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$. Let $\alpha_H : H \to H$ be a bialgebra endomorphism and $\alpha_A : A \to A$ an algebra endomorphism, such that $\alpha_A(h \cdot a) = \alpha_H(h) \cdot \alpha_A(a)$, for all $h \in H$ and $a \in A$. If we consider the Hom-bialgebra $H_{\alpha_H} = (H, \alpha_H \circ \mu_H, \Delta_H \circ \alpha_H, \alpha_H)$ and the Hom-associative algebra $A_{\alpha_A} = (A, \alpha_A \circ \mu_A, \alpha_A)$, then A_{α_A} is a left H_{α_H}-module Hom-algebra in the above sense, with action $H_{\alpha_H} \otimes A_{\alpha_A} \to A_{\alpha_A}$, $h \otimes a \mapsto h \triangleright a := \alpha_A(h \cdot a) = \alpha_H(h) \cdot \alpha_A(a)$.*

Definition 1.11 *([39]) Assume that $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H)$ is a Hom-bialgebra. A Hom-associative algebra (C, μ_C, α_C) is called a* right H-module Hom-algebra *if (C, α_C) is a right H-module, with action denoted by $C \otimes H \to C$, $c \otimes h \mapsto c \cdot h$, such that the following condition is satisfied:*

$$(cc') \cdot \alpha_H^2(h) = (c \cdot h_1)(c' \cdot h_2), \quad \forall\, h \in H,\ c, c' \in C. \tag{1.15}$$

Proposition 1.12 *([39]) Let (H, μ_H, Δ_H) be a bialgebra and (C, μ_C) a right H-module algebra in the usual sense, with action denoted by $C \otimes H \to C$, $c \otimes h \mapsto c \cdot h$. Let $\alpha_H : H \to H$ be a bialgebra endomorphism and $\alpha_C : C \to C$ an algebra endomorphism, such that $\alpha_C(c \cdot h) = \alpha_C(c) \cdot \alpha_H(h)$, for all $h \in H$ and $c \in C$. Then the Hom-associative algebra $C_{\alpha_C} = (C, \alpha_C \circ \mu_C, \alpha_C)$ becomes a right module Hom-algebra over the Hom-bialgebra $H_{\alpha_H} = (H, \alpha_H \circ \mu_H, \Delta_H \circ \alpha_H, \alpha_H)$, with action defined by $C_{\alpha_C} \otimes H_{\alpha_H} \to C_{\alpha_C}$, $c \otimes h \mapsto c \triangleleft h := \alpha_C(c \cdot h) = \alpha_C(c) \cdot \alpha_H(h)$.*

Proposition 1.13 *([39]) Let (D, μ, α) be a Hom-associative algebra and $T : D \otimes D \to D \otimes D$ a linear map, with notation $T(d \otimes d') = d^T \otimes d'_T$, for $d, d' \in D$, satisfying the conditions*

$$(\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ T = T \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha), \tag{1.16}$$
$$T \circ (\alpha \otimes \mu) = (\alpha \otimes \mu) \circ T_{13} \circ T_{12}, \tag{1.17}$$
$$T \circ (\mu \otimes \alpha) = (\mu \otimes \alpha) \circ T_{13} \circ T_{23}, \tag{1.18}$$
$$T_{12} \circ T_{23} = T_{23} \circ T_{12}, \tag{1.19}$$

where we used a standard notation for the operators T_{ij}, namely $T_{12} = T \otimes id_D$, $T_{23} = id_D \otimes T$ and $T_{13}(d \otimes d' \otimes d'') = d^T \otimes d' \otimes d''_T$. Then $D^T := (D, \mu \circ T, \alpha)$ is also a Hom-associative algebra. The map T is called a Hom-twistor*.*

Proposition 1.14 *([39]) Let (A, μ_A, α_A) and (B, μ_B, α_B) be two Hom-associative algebras and $R : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$ a linear map, with Sweedler-type notation $R(b \otimes a) = a_R \otimes b_R = a_r \otimes b_r$, for $a \in A$, $b \in B$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$\alpha_A(a_R) \otimes \alpha_B(b_R) = \alpha_A(a)_R \otimes \alpha_B(b)_R, \tag{1.20}$$
$$(aa')_R \otimes \alpha_B(b)_R = a_R a'_r \otimes \alpha_B((b_R)_r), \tag{1.21}$$
$$\alpha_A(a)_R \otimes (bb')_R = \alpha_A((a_R)_r) \otimes b_r b'_R, \tag{1.22}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$ (such a map R is called a Hom-twisting map*). If we define a new multiplication on $A \otimes B$ by $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = aa'_R \otimes b_R b'$, then $A \otimes B$ becomes a Hom-associative algebra with structure map $\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_B$, denoted by $A \otimes_R B$ and called the* Hom-twisted tensor product *of A and B.*

Theorem 1.15 *([39]) Let (A, μ_A, α_A), (B, μ_B, α_B) and (C, μ_C, α_C) be three Hom-associative algebras and $R_1 : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$, $R_2 : C \otimes B \to B \otimes C$, $R_3 : C \otimes A \to A \otimes C$ three Hom-twisting maps, satisfying the braid condition*

$$(id_A \otimes R_2) \circ (R_3 \otimes id_B) \circ (id_C \otimes R_1) = (R_1 \otimes id_C) \circ (id_B \otimes R_3) \circ (R_2 \otimes id_A). \tag{1.23}$$

Define the maps

$$P_1 : C \otimes (A \otimes_{R_1} B) \to (A \otimes_{R_1} B) \otimes C, \quad P_1 = (id_A \otimes R_2) \circ (R_3 \otimes id_B),$$
$$P_2 : (B \otimes_{R_2} C) \otimes A \to A \otimes (B \otimes_{R_2} C), \quad P_2 = (R_1 \otimes id_C) \circ (id_B \otimes R_3).$$

Then P_1 is a Hom-twisting map between $A \otimes_{R_1} B$ and C, P_2 is a Hom-twisting map between A and $B \otimes_{R_2} C$, and the Hom-associative algebras $(A \otimes_{R_1} B) \otimes_{P_1} C$ and $A \otimes_{P_2} (B \otimes_{R_2} C)$ coincide; this Hom-associative algebra will be denoted by $A \otimes_{R_1} B \otimes_{R_2} C$ and will be called the iterated Hom-twisted tensor product *of A, B, C.*

Proposition 1.16 *([39]) Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H)$ be a Hom-bialgebra, (A, μ_A, α_A) a left H-module Hom-algebra and (C, μ_C, α_C) a right H-module Hom-algebra, with actions denoted by $H \otimes A \to A$, $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$ and $C \otimes H \to C$, $c \otimes h \mapsto c \cdot h$, and assume that the structure maps α_H, α_A, α_C are bijective. Then:*
(i) We have the following Hom-twisting maps:

$$R_1 : H \otimes A \to A \otimes H, \quad R_1(h \otimes a) = \alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_A^{-1}(a) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_2),$$

$$R_2 : C \otimes H \to H \otimes C, \quad R_2(c \otimes h) = \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1) \otimes \alpha_C^{-1}(c) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h_2).$$

Thus, we can consider the Hom-associative algebras $A \otimes_{R_1} H$ and $H \otimes_{R_2} C$, which are denoted by $A\#H$ and respectively $H\#C$ and are called the left and respectively right Hom-smash products. *If we denote $a \otimes h := a\#h$ and $h\#c := h \otimes c$, for $a \in A$, $h \in H$, $c \in C$, the multiplications of the smash products are given by*

$$(a\#h)(a'\#h') = a(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_A^{-1}(a'))\#\alpha_H^{-1}(h_2)h',$$
$$(h\#c)(h'\#c') = h\alpha_H^{-1}(h'_1)\#(\alpha_C^{-1}(c) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h'_2))c',$$

and the structure maps are $\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_H$ and respectively $\alpha_H \otimes \alpha_C$.
(ii) Consider as well the trivial Hom-twisting map $R_3 : C \otimes A \to A \otimes C$, $R_3(c \otimes a) = a \otimes c$. Then R_1, R_2, R_3 satisfy the braid relation, so we can consider the iterated Hom-twisted tensor product $A \otimes_{R_1} H \otimes_{R_2} C$, which is denoted by $A\#H\#C$ and is called the two-sided Hom-smash product. *Its structure map is $\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_H \otimes \alpha_C$ and its multiplication is defined by*

$$(a\#h\#c)(a'\#h'\#c') = a(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_A^{-1}(a'))\#\alpha_H^{-1}(h_2h'_1)\#(\alpha_C^{-1}(c) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h'_2))c'.$$

Definition 1.17 *([46], [47]) Let (H, μ, Δ, α) be a Hom-bialgebra and $R \in H \otimes H$ an element, with Sweedler-type notation $R = R^1 \otimes R^2 = r^1 \otimes r^2$. Then $(H, \mu, \Delta, \alpha, R)$ is called* quasitriangular Hom-bialgebra *if the following axioms are satisfied:*

$$(\Delta \otimes \alpha)(R) = \alpha(R^1) \otimes \alpha(r^1) \otimes R^2 r^2, \tag{1.24}$$
$$(\alpha \otimes \Delta)(R) = R^1 r^1 \otimes \alpha(r^2) \otimes \alpha(R^2), \tag{1.25}$$
$$\Delta^{cop}(h)R = R\Delta(h), \tag{1.26}$$

for all $h \in H$, where we denoted as usual $\Delta^{cop}(h) = h_2 \otimes h_1$.

2 Hom-L-R-twisted tensor products of algebras

We introduce the Hom-analogue of Proposition 1.1.

Proposition 2.1 *Let (A, μ_A, α_A) and (B, μ_B, α_B) be two Hom-associative algebras and $R : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$, $Q : A \otimes B \to A \otimes B$ two linear maps, with notation $R(b \otimes a) = a_R \otimes b_R = a_r \otimes b_r$ and $Q(a \otimes b) = a_Q \otimes b_Q = a_q \otimes b_q$, for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$, satisfying the conditions:*

$$\alpha_A(a_R) \otimes \alpha_B(b_R) = \alpha_A(a)_R \otimes \alpha_B(b)_R, \tag{2.1}$$
$$\alpha_A(a_Q) \otimes \alpha_B(b_Q) = \alpha_A(a)_Q \otimes \alpha_B(b)_Q, \tag{2.2}$$
$$(aa')_R \otimes \alpha_B(b)_R = a_R a'_r \otimes \alpha_B((b_R)_r), \tag{2.3}$$
$$\alpha_A(a)_R \otimes (bb')_R = \alpha_A((a_R)_r) \otimes b_r b'_R, \tag{2.4}$$
$$(aa')_Q \otimes \alpha_B(b)_Q = a_q a'_Q \otimes \alpha_B((b_Q)_q), \tag{2.5}$$
$$\alpha_A(a)_Q \otimes (bb')_Q = \alpha_A((a_Q)_q) \otimes b_Q b'_q, \tag{2.6}$$
$$b_R \otimes (a_R)_Q \otimes b'_Q = b_R \otimes (a_Q)_R \otimes b'_Q, \tag{2.7}$$
$$a_R \otimes (b_R)_Q \otimes a'_Q = a_R \otimes (b_Q)_R \otimes a'_Q, \tag{2.8}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$. Define a new multiplication on $A \otimes B$ by $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = a_Q a'_R \otimes b_R b'_Q$. Then $A \otimes B$ with this multiplication is a Hom-associative algebra with structure map $\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_B$, denoted by $A \,{}_Q\otimes_R B$ and called the Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product *of A and B afforded by the maps R and Q.*

Proof. The fact that $\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_B$ is multiplicative follows immediately from (2.1) and (2.2). Now we compute (denoting $R = r = \mathcal{R}$ and $Q = q = \tilde{Q}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_B)(a \otimes b)[(a' \otimes b')(a'' \otimes b'')] \\
&= (\alpha_A(a) \otimes \alpha_B(b))(a'_Q a''_R \otimes b'_R b''_Q) \\
&= \alpha_A(a)_q(a'_Q a''_R)_r \otimes \alpha_B(b)_r(b'_R b''_Q)_q \\
&\overset{(2.3),\,(2.6)}{=} \alpha_A((a_{\tilde{Q}})_q)((a'_Q)_{\mathcal{R}}(a''_R)_r) \otimes \alpha_B((b_{\mathcal{R}})_r)((b'_R)_{\tilde{Q}}(b''_Q)_q) \\
&\overset{Hom-assoc.}{=} ((a_{\tilde{Q}})_q(a'_Q)_{\mathcal{R}})\alpha_A((a''_R)_r) \otimes ((b_{\mathcal{R}})_r(b'_R)_{\tilde{Q}})\alpha_B((b''_Q)_q) \\
&\overset{(2.7),\,(2.8)}{=} ((a_{\tilde{Q}})_q(a'_{\mathcal{R}})_Q)\alpha_A((a''_R)_r) \otimes ((b_{\mathcal{R}})_r(b'_{\tilde{Q}})_R)\alpha_B((b''_Q)_q) \\
&\overset{(2.4),\,(2.5)}{=} (a_{\tilde{Q}}a'_{\mathcal{R}})_Q\alpha_A(a'')_R \otimes (b_{\mathcal{R}}b'_{\tilde{Q}})_R\alpha_B(b'')_Q \\
&= (a_{\tilde{Q}}a'_{\mathcal{R}} \otimes b_{\mathcal{R}}b'_{\tilde{Q}})(\alpha_A(a'') \otimes \alpha_B(b'')) \\
&= [(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b')](\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_B)(a'' \otimes b''),
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

Obviously, a Hom-twisted tensor product $A \otimes_R B$ is a particular case of a Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product, namely $A \otimes_R B = A\ {}_Q \otimes_R B$, where $Q = id_{A\otimes B}$. On the other hand, if A and B are Hom-associative algebras and $Q : A \otimes B \to A \otimes B$ is a linear map satisfying the conditions (2.2), (2.5) and (2.6), then the multiplication $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = a_Q a' \otimes bb'_Q$ defines a Hom-associative algebra structure on $A \otimes B$, denoted by $A\ {}_Q \otimes B$; this is a particular case of a Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product, namely $A\ {}_Q \otimes B = A\ {}_Q \otimes_R B$, where R is the flip map $b \otimes a \mapsto a \otimes b$. Also, if $A\ {}_Q \otimes_R B$ is a Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product, we can consider as well the Hom-associative algebras $A \otimes_R B$ and $A\ {}_Q \otimes B$.

By using some computations similar to the ones performed in [39], Propositions 2.6 and 2.10, one can prove the following two results:

Proposition 2.2 *Let (A, μ_A, α_A) and (B, μ_B, α_B) be Hom-associative algebras and $A\ {}_Q \otimes_R B$ a Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product. Define the linear maps $T, U, V : (A \otimes B) \otimes (A \otimes B) \to (A \otimes B) \otimes (A \otimes B)$, by*

$$\begin{aligned}
T((a \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b')) &= (a_Q \otimes b_R) \otimes (a'_R \otimes b'_Q), \\
U((a \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b')) &= (a_Q \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b'_Q), \\
V((a \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b')) &= (a \otimes b_R) \otimes (a'_R \otimes b').
\end{aligned}$$

Then T is a Hom-twistor for $A \otimes B$, U is a Hom-twistor for $A \otimes_R B$, V is a Hom-twistor for $A\ {}_Q \otimes B$ and $A\ {}_Q \otimes_R B = (A \otimes B)^T = (A \otimes_R B)^U = (A\ {}_Q \otimes B)^V$ as Hom-associative algebras.

Proposition 2.3 *Let (A, μ_A) and (B, μ_B) be two associative algebras, $\alpha_A : A \to A$ and $\alpha_B : B \to B$ algebra maps and $A\ {}_Q \otimes_R B$ an L-R-twisted tensor product such that $(\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_B) \circ R = R \circ (\alpha_B \otimes \alpha_A)$ and $(\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_B) \circ Q = Q \circ (\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_B)$. Then we have a Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product $A_{\alpha_A}\ {}_Q \otimes_R B_{\alpha_B}$, which coincides with $(A\ {}_Q \otimes_R B)_{\alpha_A \otimes \alpha_B}$ as Hom-associative algebras.*

The next result is the Hom-analogue of [17], Proposition 2.9.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $A\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$ be a Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product of the Hom-associative algebras (A,μ_A,α_A) and (B,μ_B,α_B) with bijective structure maps α_A and α_B and assume that Q is bijective with inverse Q^{-1}. Then the map $P: B\otimes A\to A\otimes B$ defined by $P=Q^{-1}\circ R$ is a Hom-twisting map, and we have an isomorphism of Hom-associative algebras $Q: A\otimes_P B\simeq A\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$.*

Proof. Let $a,a'\in A$ and $b,b'\in B$; we denote $Q^{-1}(a\otimes b)=a_{Q^{-1}}\otimes b_{Q^{-1}}=a_{q^{-1}}\otimes b_{q^{-1}}$, $P(b\otimes a)=a_P\otimes b_P=a_p\otimes b_p$, $Q=q=\overline{Q}=\overline{q}$. The relation (1.20) for P follows immediately from (2.1) and (2.2). Now we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(a_Pa'_p\otimes\alpha_B((b_P)_p)) &= ((a_R)_{Q^{-1}}(a'_r)_{q^{-1}})_Q\otimes\alpha_B((((b_R)_{Q^{-1}})_r)_{q^{-1}})_Q\\
&\overset{(2.5)}{=} ((a_R)_{Q^{-1}})_Q((a'_r)_{q^{-1}})_{\overline{Q}}\otimes\alpha_B((((((b_R)_{Q^{-1}})_r)_{q^{-1}})_{\overline{Q}})_Q)\\
&= ((a_R)_{Q^{-1}})_Qa'_r\otimes\alpha_B((((b_R)_{Q^{-1}})_r)_Q)\\
&\overset{(2.8)}{=} ((a_R)_{Q^{-1}})_Qa'_r\otimes\alpha_B((((b_R)_{Q^{-1}})_Q)_r)\\
&= a_Ra'_r\otimes\alpha_B((b_R)_r)\\
&\overset{(2.3)}{=} (aa')_R\otimes\alpha_B(b)_R=R(\alpha_B(b)\otimes aa').
\end{aligned}$$

By applying Q^{-1} to this equality we obtain $P(\alpha_B(b)\otimes aa')=a_Pa'_p\otimes\alpha_B((b_P)_p)$, which is (1.21) for P. Similarly one can prove (1.22) for P, so P is indeed a Hom-twisting map. Since Q satisfies (2.2), the only thing left to prove is that $Q: A\otimes_P B\to A\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$ is multiplicative. We compute:

$Q((a\otimes b)(a'\otimes b'))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (aa'_P)_Q\otimes(b_Pb')_Q\\
&= (aa'_P)_Q\otimes\alpha_B(\alpha_B^{-1}(b_Pb'))_Q\\
&\overset{(2.5)}{=} a_q(a'_P)_Q\otimes\alpha_B((\alpha_B^{-1}(b_Pb')_Q)_q)\\
&= a_q(a'_P)_Q\otimes\alpha_B(((\alpha_B^{-1}(b_P)\alpha_B^{-1}(b'))_Q)_q)\\
&= a_q\alpha_A(\alpha_A^{-1}(a'_P))_Q\otimes\alpha_B(((\alpha_B^{-1}(b_P)\alpha_B^{-1}(b'))_Q)_q)\\
&\overset{(2.6)}{=} a_q\alpha_A((\alpha_A^{-1}(a'_P)_Q)_{\overline{Q}})\otimes\alpha_B((\alpha_B^{-1}(b_P)_Q\alpha_B^{-1}(b')_{\overline{Q}})_q)\\
&= \alpha_A(\alpha_A^{-1}(a))_q\alpha_A((\alpha_A^{-1}(a'_P)_Q)_{\overline{Q}})\otimes\alpha_B((\alpha_B^{-1}(b_P)_Q\alpha_B^{-1}(b')_{\overline{Q}})_q)\\
&\overset{(2.6)}{=} \alpha_A((\alpha_A^{-1}(a)_q)_{\overline{q}})\alpha_A((\alpha_A^{-1}(a'_P)_Q)_{\overline{Q}})\otimes\alpha_B((\alpha_B^{-1}(b_P)_Q)_q(\alpha_B^{-1}(b')_{\overline{Q}})_{\overline{q}})\\
&\overset{(1.20)}{=} \alpha_A((\alpha_A^{-1}(a)_q)_{\overline{q}})\alpha_A(((\alpha_A^{-1}(a')_P)_Q)_{\overline{Q}})\otimes\alpha_B(((\alpha_B^{-1}(b)_P)_Q)_q(\alpha_B^{-1}(b')_{\overline{Q}})_{\overline{q}})\\
&= \alpha_A((\alpha_A^{-1}(a)_q)_{\overline{q}})\alpha_A((\alpha_A^{-1}(a')_R)_{\overline{Q}})\otimes\alpha_B((\alpha_B^{-1}(b)_R)_q(\alpha_B^{-1}(b')_{\overline{Q}})_{\overline{q}})\\
&\overset{(2.7)}{=} \alpha_A((\alpha_A^{-1}(a)_q)_{\overline{q}})\alpha_A((\alpha_A^{-1}(a')_{\overline{Q}})_R)\otimes\alpha_B((\alpha_B^{-1}(b)_R)_q(\alpha_B^{-1}(b')_{\overline{Q}})_{\overline{q}})\\
&\overset{(2.8)}{=} \alpha_A((\alpha_A^{-1}(a)_q)_{\overline{q}}(\alpha_A^{-1}(a')_{\overline{Q}})_R)\otimes\alpha_B((\alpha_B^{-1}(b)_q)_R(\alpha_B^{-1}(b')_{\overline{Q}})_{\overline{q}})\\
&\overset{(2.2)}{=} \alpha_A(\alpha_A^{-1}(a_q)_{\overline{q}}\alpha_A^{-1}(a'_{\overline{Q}})_R)\otimes\alpha_B(\alpha_B^{-1}(b_q)_R\alpha_B^{-1}(b'_{\overline{Q}})_{\overline{q}})\\
&\overset{(2.1),(2.2)}{=} \alpha_A(\alpha_A^{-1}((a_q)_{\overline{q}})\alpha_A^{-1}((a'_{\overline{Q}})_R))\otimes\alpha_B(\alpha_B^{-1}((b_q)_R)\alpha_B^{-1}((b'_{\overline{Q}})_{\overline{q}}))\\
&= (a_q)_{\overline{q}}(a'_{\overline{Q}})_R\otimes(b_q)_R(b'_{\overline{Q}})_{\overline{q}}\\
&= (a_q\otimes b_q)(a'_{\overline{Q}}\otimes b'_{\overline{Q}})=Q(a\otimes b)Q(a'\otimes b'),
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

Proposition 2.5 *Let $A \otimes_{R_1} B \otimes_{R_2} C$ be an iterated Hom-twisted tensor product of the Hom-associative algebras (A, μ_A, α_A), (B, μ_B, α_B), (C, μ_C, α_C) for which the map $R_3 : C \otimes A \to A \otimes C$ is the flip map $c \otimes a \mapsto a \otimes c$. Define the linear maps*

$$R : B \otimes (A \otimes C) \to (A \otimes C) \otimes B, \quad R(b \otimes (a \otimes c)) = (a_{R_1} \otimes c) \otimes b_{R_1},$$
$$Q : (A \otimes C) \otimes B \to (A \otimes C) \otimes B, \quad Q((a \otimes c) \otimes b) = (a \otimes c_{R_2}) \otimes b_{R_2}.$$

Then we have a Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product $(A \otimes C)\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$, and an isomorphism of Hom-associative algebras $A \otimes_{R_1} B \otimes_{R_2} C \simeq (A \otimes C)\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$, $a \otimes b \otimes c \mapsto (a \otimes c) \otimes b$.

Proof. One has to prove the relations (2.1)-(2.8) for R and Q. The relations (2.1)-(2.6) are easy consequences of the fact that R_1 and R_2 are Hom-twisting maps, (2.7) is trivially satisfied while (2.8) is a consequence of the braid relation, which in our situation (R_3 is the flip) boils down to $a_{R_1} \otimes (b_{R_1})_{R_2} \otimes c_{R_2} = a_{R_1} \otimes (b_{R_2})_{R_1} \otimes c_{R_2}$, for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $c \in C$. So indeed $(A \otimes C)\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$ is a Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product, with multiplication

$$((a \otimes c) \otimes b)((a' \otimes c') \otimes b') = (aa'_{R_1} \otimes c_{R_2}c') \otimes b_{R_1}b'_{R_2}.$$

Again because R_3 is the flip, the multiplication in the iterated Hom-twisted tensor product $A \otimes_{R_1} B \otimes_{R_2} C$ is given by $(a \otimes b \otimes c)(a' \otimes b' \otimes c') = aa'_{R_1} \otimes b_{R_1}b'_{R_2} \otimes c_{R_2}c'$, so obviously we have $A \otimes_{R_1} B \otimes_{R_2} C \simeq (A \otimes C)\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$. □

3 Hom-L-R-smash product

Definition 3.1 *Let (A, μ_A, α_A) be a Hom-associative algebra, M a linear space and $\alpha_M : M \to M$ a linear map. Assume that (M, α_M) is both a left and a right A-module (with actions denoted by $A \otimes M \to M$, $a \otimes m \mapsto a \cdot m$ and $M \otimes A \to M$, $m \otimes a \mapsto m \cdot a$). We call (M, α_M) an* A-bimodule *if the following condition is satisfied, for all $a, a' \in A$, $m \in M$:*

$$\alpha_A(a) \cdot (m \cdot a') = (a \cdot m) \cdot \alpha_A(a'). \tag{3.1}$$

Remark 3.2 *Obviously, (A, α_A) is an A-bimodule.*

We prove now that this is indeed the "appropriate" concept of bimodule for the class of Hom-associative algebras. Recall first from [41] the following concept. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of (not necessarily associative) algebras, $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and M a linear space with two linear actions $a \otimes m \mapsto a \cdot m$ and $m \otimes a \mapsto m \cdot a$ of A on M. On the direct sum $A \oplus M$ one can introduce an algebra structure (called the *semidirect sum* or *split null extension*) by defining a multiplication in $A \oplus M$ by

$$(a, m)(a', m') = (aa', m \cdot a' + a \cdot m'),$$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $m, m' \in M$. Then, if $A \oplus M$ with this algebra structure is in $\mathcal{C}$, we say that M is an A-bimodule with respect to $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is the class of all associative algebras or of all Lie algebras, one obtains the usual concepts of bimodule for these types of algebras. We have then the following result:

Proposition 3.3 *Let (A, μ_A, α_A) be a Hom-associative algebra, M a linear space, $\alpha_M : M \to M$ a linear map and two linear actions $a \otimes m \mapsto a \cdot m$ and $m \otimes a \mapsto m \cdot a$ of A on M. Then the split null extension $B = A \oplus M$ is a Hom-associative algebra with structure map α_B defined by $\alpha_B((a, m)) = (\alpha_A(a), \alpha_M(m))$ if and only if (M, α_M) is an A-bimodule as in Definition 3.1.*

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_B((a,m))[(a',m')(a'',m'')] &= (\alpha_A(a)(a'a''), \alpha_M(m)\cdot(a'a'') + \alpha_A(a)\cdot(m'\cdot a'')\\ &\quad +\alpha_A(a)\cdot(a'\cdot m'')),\\ [(a,m)(a',m')]\alpha_B((a'',m'')) &= ((aa')\alpha_A(a''), (m\cdot a')\cdot\alpha_A(a'') + (a\cdot m')\cdot\alpha_A(a'')\\ &\quad +(aa')\cdot\alpha_M(m'')),\end{aligned}$$

so the multiplication on B is Hom-associative if and only if

$$\alpha_M(m)\cdot(a'a'')+\alpha_A(a)\cdot(m'\cdot a'')+\alpha_A(a)\cdot(a'\cdot m'') = (m\cdot a')\cdot\alpha_A(a'')+(a\cdot m')\cdot\alpha_A(a'')+(aa')\cdot\alpha_M(m'')$$

for all $a, a', a'' \in A$ and $m, m', m'' \in M$. Also, clearly α_B is multiplicative if and only if

$$\alpha_M(m \cdot a') + \alpha_M(a \cdot m') = \alpha_M(m) \cdot \alpha_A(a') + \alpha_A(a) \cdot \alpha_M(m')$$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $m, m' \in M$. It is then obvious that if (M, α_M) is an A-bimodule then B is Hom-associative. Conversely, assuming the two relations above, we take $m' = m'' = 0$, then $m = m' = 0$, then $m = m'' = 0$ in the first relation and $m = 0$, then $m' = 0$ in the second relation and we obtain the five relations saying that (M, α_M) is an A-bimodule. □

Similarly to Theorem 4.5 in [48], one can prove the following result:

Proposition 3.4 *Let (A, μ_A) be an associative algebra, $\alpha_A : A \to A$ an algebra endomorphism, M an A-bimodule in the usual sense with actions $A \otimes M \to M$, $a \otimes m \mapsto a \cdot m$ and $M \otimes A \to M$, $m \otimes a \mapsto m \cdot a$, and $\alpha_M : M \to M$ a linear map satisfying the conditions $\alpha_M(a \cdot m) = \alpha_A(a) \cdot \alpha_M(m)$ and $\alpha_M(m \cdot a) = \alpha_M(m) \cdot \alpha_A(a)$ for all $a \in A$, $m \in M$. Then (M, α_M) becomes a bimodule over the Hom-associative algebra A_{α_A}, with actions $A_{\alpha_A} \otimes M \to M$, $a \otimes m \mapsto a \triangleright m := \alpha_M(a \cdot m) = \alpha_A(a) \cdot \alpha_M(m)$ and $M \otimes A_{\alpha_A} \to M$, $m \otimes a \mapsto m \triangleleft a := \alpha_M(m \cdot a) = \alpha_M(m) \cdot \alpha_A(a)$.*

Definition 3.5 *Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H)$ be a Hom-bialgebra. An H-*bimodule Hom-algebra *is a Hom-associative algebra (D, μ_D, α_D) that is both a left and a right H-module Hom-algebra and such that (D, α_D) is an H-bimodule.*

We can introduce now the Hom-analogue of the L-R-smash product.

Theorem 3.6 *Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H)$ be a Hom-bialgebra, (D, μ_D, α_D) an H-bimodule Hom-algebra, with actions denoted by $H \otimes D \to D$, $h \otimes d \mapsto h \cdot d$ and $D \otimes H \to D$, $d \otimes h \mapsto d \cdot h$, and assume that the structure maps α_D and α_H are both bijective. Define the linear maps*

$$\begin{aligned}R &: H \otimes D \to D \otimes H, \quad R(h \otimes d) = \alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_2),\\ Q &: D \otimes H \to D \otimes H, \quad Q(d \otimes h) = \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h_2) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1),\end{aligned}$$

for all $d \in D$, $h \in H$. Then we have a Hom-L-R-twisted tensor product $D \,{}_Q\otimes_R H$, which will be denoted by $D \natural H$ (we denote $d \otimes h := d \natural h$ for $d \in D$, $h \in H$) and called the Hom-L-R-smash product *of D and H. The structure map of $D \natural H$ is $\alpha_D \otimes \alpha_H$ and its multiplication is*

$$(d \natural h)(d' \natural h') = [\alpha_D^{-1}(d) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h'_2)][\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-1}(d')] \natural \alpha_H^{-1}(h_2 h'_1).$$

Proof. Note first that R is exactly the Hom-twisting map defining the Hom-smash product $D\#H$, so R satisfies the conditions (2.1), (2.3), (2.4). With a proof similar to the one in [39], one can prove that the map Q satisfies the conditions (2.2), (2.5), (2.6).
Proof of (2.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
h_R \otimes (d_R)_Q \otimes h'_Q &= \alpha_H^{-1}(h_2) \otimes (\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-1}(d))_Q \otimes h'_Q \\
&= \alpha_H^{-1}(h_2) \otimes \alpha_D^{-1}(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-1}(d)) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h'_2) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h'_1) \\
&\overset{(1.7)}{=} \alpha_H^{-1}(h_2) \otimes (\alpha_H^{-3}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-2}(d)) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h'_2) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h'_1) \\
&\overset{(3.1)}{=} \alpha_H^{-1}(h_2) \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot (\alpha_D^{-2}(d) \cdot \alpha_H^{-3}(h'_2)) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h'_1) \\
&\overset{(1.9)}{=} \alpha_H^{-1}(h_2) \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot (\alpha_D^{-1}(\alpha_D^{-1}(d) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h'_2))) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h'_1) \\
&= h_R \otimes (\alpha_D^{-1}(d) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h'_2))_R \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h'_1) \\
&= h_R \otimes (d_Q)_R \otimes h'_Q.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (2.8):

$$\begin{aligned}
d_R \otimes (h_R)_Q \otimes d'_Q &= \alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_2)_Q \otimes d'_Q \\
&= \alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(\alpha_H^{-1}(h_2)_1) \otimes \alpha_D^{-1}(d') \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(\alpha_H^{-1}(h_2)_2) \\
&\overset{(1.13)}{=} \alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}((h_2)_1) \otimes \alpha_D^{-1}(d') \cdot \alpha_H^{-3}((h_2)_2) \\
&\overset{(1.11)}{=} \alpha_H^{-3}((h_1)_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}((h_1)_2) \otimes \alpha_D^{-1}(d') \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h_2) \\
&\overset{(1.13)}{=} \alpha_H^{-2}(\alpha_H^{-1}(h_1)_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(\alpha_H^{-1}(h_1)_2) \otimes \alpha_D^{-1}(d') \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h_2) \\
&= d_R \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1)_R \otimes \alpha_D^{-1}(d') \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h_2) \\
&= d_R \otimes (h_Q)_R \otimes d'_Q,
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

As a consequence of Proposition 2.3, we immediately obtain the following result:

Proposition 3.7 *Let (H, μ_H, Δ_H) be a bialgebra and (D, μ_D) an H-bimodule algebra in the usual sense, with actions denoted by $H \otimes D \to D$, $h \otimes d \mapsto h \cdot d$ and $D \otimes H \to D$, $d \otimes h \mapsto d \cdot h$. Let $\alpha_H : H \to H$ be a bialgebra endomorphism and $\alpha_D : D \to D$ an algebra endomorphism such that $\alpha_D(h \cdot d) = \alpha_H(h) \cdot \alpha_D(d)$ and $\alpha_D(d \cdot h) = \alpha_D(d) \cdot \alpha_H(h)$ for all $d \in D$, $h \in H$. If we consider the Hom-bialgebra $H_{\alpha_H} = (H, \alpha_H \circ \mu_H, \Delta_H \circ \alpha_H, \alpha_H)$ and the Hom-associative algebra $D_{\alpha_D} = (D, \alpha_D \circ \mu_D, \alpha_D)$, then D_{α_D} is an H_{α_H}-bimodule Hom-algebra with actions $H_{\alpha_H} \otimes D_{\alpha_D} \to D_{\alpha_D}$, $h \otimes d \mapsto h \triangleright d := \alpha_D(h \cdot d) = \alpha_H(h) \cdot \alpha_D(d)$ and $D_{\alpha_D} \otimes H_{\alpha_H} \to D_{\alpha_D}$, $d \otimes h \mapsto d \triangleleft h := \alpha_D(d \cdot h) = \alpha_D(d) \cdot \alpha_H(h)$. If we assume that moreover the maps α_H and α_D are bijective, if we denote by $D \natural H$ the L-R-smash product between D and H, then $\alpha_D \otimes \alpha_H$ is an algebra endomorphism of $D \natural H$ and the Hom-associative algebras $(D \natural H)_{\alpha_D \otimes \alpha_H}$ and $D_{\alpha_D} \natural H_{\alpha_H}$ coincide.*

Example 3.8 *Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H)$ be a Hom-bialgebra such that α_H is bijective. The vector space H^* becomes a Hom-associative algebra with multiplication and structure map defined by:*

$$(f \bullet g)(h) = f(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1))g(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_2)),$$

$$\beta : H^* \to H^*, \quad \beta(f)(h) = f(\alpha_H^{-1}(h)),$$

for all $f, g \in H^*$ and $h \in H$. Then for any $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, this Hom-associative algebra H^* can be organized as an H-bimodule Hom-algebra (denoted by $H^*_{p,q}$) with actions defined as follows:

$$\rightharpoonup : H \otimes H^* \to H^*, \quad (h \rightharpoonup f)(h') = f(\alpha_H^{-2}(h')\alpha_H^p(h)),$$
$$\leftharpoonup : H^* \otimes H \to H^*, \quad (f \leftharpoonup h)(h') = f(\alpha_H^q(h)\alpha_H^{-2}(h')),$$

for all $h, h' \in H$ and $f \in H^*$. Note that β is bijective and $\beta^{-1} = \alpha_H^*$, the transpose of α_H. So, we can consider the Hom-L-R-smash product $H^*_{p,q} \natural H$, whose structure map is $\beta \otimes \alpha_H$ and whose multiplication is

$$(f \natural h)(f' \natural h') = [\alpha_H^*(f) \leftharpoonup \alpha_H^{-2}(h'_2)] \bullet [\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \rightharpoonup \alpha_H^*(f')] \natural \alpha_H^{-1}(h_2 h'_1).$$

Example 3.9 *Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H)$ be a Hom-bialgebra, (A, μ_A, α_A) a left H-module Hom-algebra and (C, μ_C, α_C) a right H-module Hom-algebra, with actions denoted by $H \otimes A \to A$, $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$ and $C \otimes H \to C$, $c \otimes h \mapsto c \cdot h$. Define $D := A \otimes C$ as the tensor product Hom-associative algebra. Define the linear maps*

$$H \otimes (A \otimes C) \to A \otimes C, \quad h \cdot (a \otimes c) = h \cdot a \otimes \alpha_C(c),$$
$$(A \otimes C) \otimes H \to A \otimes C, \quad (a \otimes c) \cdot h = \alpha_A(a) \otimes c \cdot h.$$

Then one can easily check that $A \otimes C$ with these actions becomes an H-bimodule Hom-algebra.

Assume that moreover the structure maps α_H, α_A, α_C are bijective, so we can consider the Hom-L-R-smash product $(A \otimes C) \natural H$. Then, by writing down the formula for the multiplication in $(A \otimes C) \natural H$, and then by applying Proposition 2.5, we obtain that $(A \otimes C) \natural H$ is isomorphic to the two-sided Hom-smash product $A\#H\#C$.

4 Hom-diagonal crossed product

Definition 4.1 *(i) If (A, μ, α) is a Hom-associative algebra, we say that A is* unital *if there exists an element $1_A \in A$ such that*

$$\alpha(1_A) = 1_A, \tag{4.1}$$
$$1_A a = a 1_A = \alpha(a), \quad \forall\, a \in A. \tag{4.2}$$

If $f : A \to B$ is a morphism of Hom-associative algebras, we say that f is unital *if $f(1_A) = 1_B$. (ii) If (C, Δ, α) is a Hom-coassociative coalgebra, we say that C is* counital *if there exists a linear map $\varepsilon_C : C \to k$ such that*

$$\varepsilon_C \circ \alpha = \varepsilon_C, \tag{4.3}$$
$$\varepsilon_C(c_1)c_2 = c_1 \varepsilon_C(c_2) = \alpha(c), \quad \forall\, c \in C. \tag{4.4}$$

If $f : C \to D$ is a morphism of Hom-coassociative coalgebras, we say that f is counital *if $\varepsilon_D \circ f = \varepsilon_C$.*

Definition 4.2 *([36], [37]) A* Hom-Hopf algebra *$(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, 1_H, \varepsilon_H, S)$ is a Hom-bialgebra such that $(H, \mu_H, \alpha_H, 1_H)$ is a unital Hom-associative algebra, $(H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, \varepsilon_H)$ is a counital Hom-coassociative coalgebra, and $S : H \to H$ is a linear map (called the* antipode*) such that*

$$\Delta_H(1_H) = 1_H \otimes 1_H, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\varepsilon_H(hh') = \varepsilon_H(h)\varepsilon_H(h'), \quad \forall\, h, h' \in H, \tag{4.6}$$
$$\varepsilon_H(1_H) = 1, \tag{4.7}$$
$$S(h_1)h_2 = h_1 S(h_2) = \varepsilon_H(h)1_H, \quad \forall\, h \in H, \tag{4.8}$$
$$S \circ \alpha_H = \alpha_H \circ S. \tag{4.9}$$

As consequences of the axioms, and assuming that α_H is bijective, we have the following relations satisfied for a Hom-Hopf algebra:

$$S(1_H) = 1_H, \tag{4.10}$$
$$\varepsilon_H \circ S = \varepsilon_H, \tag{4.11}$$
$$S(hh') = S(h')S(h), \quad \forall\, h, h' \in H, \tag{4.12}$$
$$\Delta_H(S(h)) = S(h_2) \otimes S(h_1), \quad \forall\, h \in H. \tag{4.13}$$

If S is bijective, we have, as an immediate consequence of (4.8), (4.12) and (4.10):

$$S^{-1}(h_2)h_1 = h_2 S^{-1}(h_1) = \varepsilon_H(h)1_H, \quad \forall\, h \in H. \tag{4.14}$$

Proposition 4.3 *Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, 1_H, \varepsilon_H, S)$ be a Hom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and (D, μ_D, α_D) an H-bimodule Hom-algebra, with actions $H \otimes D \to D$, $h \otimes d \mapsto h \cdot d$ and $D \otimes H \to D$, $d \otimes h \mapsto d \cdot h$, such that α_D and α_H are both bijective and*

$$1_H \cdot d = d \cdot 1_H = \alpha_D(d), \quad \forall\, d \in D. \tag{4.15}$$

Then the map $Q : D \otimes H \to D \otimes H$, $Q(d \otimes h) = \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h_2) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1)$ is bijective, with inverse $Q^{-1} : D \otimes H \to D \otimes H$, $Q^{-1}(d \otimes h) = \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(h_2)) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1)$.

Proof. We check only that $Q^{-1} \circ Q = id$, the proof for $Q \circ Q^{-1} = id$ is similar and left to the reader. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q^{-1} \circ Q)(d \otimes h) &= Q^{-1}(\alpha_D^{-1}(d) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h_2) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1)) \\
&= \alpha_D^{-1}(\alpha_D^{-1}(d) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(h_2)) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(\alpha_H^{-1}(h_1)_2)) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(\alpha_H^{-1}(h_1)_1) \\
&\overset{(1.13),\,(1.9)}{=} (\alpha_D^{-2}(d) \cdot \alpha_H^{-3}(h_2)) \cdot \alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(\alpha_H^{-1}((h_1)_2))) \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}((h_1)_1) \\
&\overset{(1.11),\,(4.9)}{=} (\alpha_D^{-2}(d) \cdot \alpha_H^{-4}((h_2)_2)) \cdot \alpha_H^{-3}(S^{-1}((h_2)_1)) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1) \\
&\overset{(1.10)}{=} \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \cdot (\alpha_H^{-4}((h_2)_2)\alpha_H^{-4}(S^{-1}((h_2)_1))) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1) \\
&\overset{(4.14)}{=} \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \cdot (\alpha_H^{-4}(\varepsilon_H(h_2)1_H)) \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1) \\
&\overset{(4.1)}{=} \alpha_D^{-1}(d) \cdot 1_H \otimes \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1\varepsilon_H(h_2)) \\
&\overset{(4.15),\,(4.4)}{=} d \otimes h,
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

Assume now that we are in the hypotheses of Proposition 4.3 and consider the Hom-L-R-smash product $D \natural H = D \,{}_Q\otimes_R H$. Since the map Q is bijective, we can apply Proposition 2.4 and we obtain that the map $P : H \otimes D \to D \otimes H$, $P = Q^{-1} \circ R$ is a Hom-twisting map and we have an isomorphism of Hom-associative algebras $Q : D \otimes_P H \simeq D \natural H$.

Definition 4.4 *This Hom-associative algebra $D \otimes_P H$ will be denoted by $D \bowtie H$ and will be called the* Hom-diagonal crossed product *of D and H. The map P is defined by*

$$P(h \otimes d) = (\alpha_H^{-3}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-2}(d)) \cdot \alpha_H^{-3}(S^{-1}((h_2)_2)) \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}((h_2)_1),$$

so the multiplication of $D \bowtie H$ is defined (denoting $d \otimes h := d \bowtie h$) by

$$(d \bowtie h)(d' \bowtie h') = d[(\alpha_H^{-3}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_D^{-2}(d')) \cdot \alpha_H^{-3}(S^{-1}((h_2)_2))] \bowtie \alpha_H^{-2}((h_2)_1)h'.$$

By a direct computation, one can check that the "twisting principle" holds also for diagonal crossed products, namely:

Proposition 4.5 *Assume that we are in the hypotheses and notation of Proposition 3.7, assuming moreover that α_H and α_D are bijective and $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, 1_H, \varepsilon_H)$ is a unital and counital Hopf algebra with bijective antipode S and we have $\alpha_H(1_H) = 1_H$, $\varepsilon_H \circ \alpha_H = \varepsilon_H$, $S \circ \alpha_H = \alpha_H \circ S$. Then $H_{\alpha_H} = (H, \alpha_H \circ \mu_H, \Delta_H \circ \alpha_H, \alpha_H, 1_H, \varepsilon_H, S)$ is a Hom-Hopf algebra, the H_{α_H}-bimodule Hom-algebra $D_{\alpha_D} = (D, \alpha_D \circ \mu_D, \alpha_D)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 4.3, the map $\alpha_D \otimes \alpha_H$ is an algebra endomorphism of the diagonal crossed product $D \bowtie H$ and the Hom-associative algebras $(D \bowtie H)_{\alpha_D \otimes \alpha_H}$ and $D_{\alpha_D} \bowtie H_{\alpha_H}$ coincide.*

We need to characterize (left) modules over a Hom-diagonal crossed product, and we obtain first a characterization of (left) modules over a Hom-twisted tensor product. We begin with some definitions.

Definition 4.6 *Let $A \otimes_R B$ be a Hom-twisted tensor product of the unital Hom-associative algebras $(A, \mu_A, \alpha_A, 1_A)$ and $(B, \mu_B, \alpha_B, 1_B)$. We say that R is a* unital *Hom-twisting map if, for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$, we have $R(1_B \otimes a) = a \otimes 1_B$ and $R(b \otimes 1_A) = 1_A \otimes b$. If this is the case, then $A \otimes_R B$ is unital with unit $1_A \otimes 1_B$, the maps $A \to A \otimes_R B$, $a \mapsto a \otimes 1_B$ and $B \to A \otimes_R B$, $b \mapsto 1_A \otimes b$ are unital morphisms of Hom-associative algebras and for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$ we have*

$$(a \otimes 1_B)(1_A \otimes b) = \alpha_A(a) \otimes \alpha_B(b). \tag{4.16}$$

Remark 4.7 *Let $D \bowtie H = D \otimes_P H$ be a Hom-diagonal crossed product such that D is a unital Hom-associative algebra and $h \cdot 1_D = 1_D \cdot h = \varepsilon_H(h)1_D$, for all $h \in H$. Then P is a unital Hom-twisting map, so $D \bowtie H$ is unital with unit $1_D \bowtie 1_H$.*

Remark 4.8 *If H is a Hom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and such that α_H is bijective, we consider the H-bimodule Hom-algebra $H^*_{p,q}$ defined in Example 3.8. It is easy to see that $H^*_{p,q}$ is unital with unit ε_H, its structure map β is bijective, we have $1_H \rightharpoonup f = f \leftharpoonup 1_H = \beta(f)$, for all $f \in H^*$, and $h \rightharpoonup \varepsilon_H = \varepsilon_H \leftharpoonup h = \varepsilon_H(h)\varepsilon_H$, for all $h \in H$. Consequently, the Hom-diagonal crossed product $H^*_{p,q} \bowtie H$ is unital with unit $\varepsilon_H \bowtie 1_H$.*

Definition 4.9 *If $(A, \mu_A, \alpha_A, 1_A)$ is a unital Hom-associative algebra and (M, α_M) is a left A-module, we say that M is* unital *if $1_A \cdot m = \alpha_M(m)$, $\forall\, m \in M$. If α_A is bijective, we denote by ${}_A\mathcal{M}$ the category whose objects are unital left A-modules (M, α_M) with α_M bijective, the morphisms being morphisms of left A-modules.*

Proposition 4.10 *Let $R : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$ be a unital Hom-twisting map between the unital Hom-associative algebras $(A, \mu_A, \alpha_A, 1_A)$ and $(B, \mu_B, \alpha_B, 1_B)$. Let M be a linear space and $\alpha_M : M \to M$ a linear map, and assume that α_A, α_B, α_M are bijective. Then (M, α_M) is a*

unital left $A\otimes_R B$-module if and only if (M,α_M) is a unital left A-module and a unital left B-module (actions denoted by $\cdot$) satisfying the compatibility condition

$$\alpha_B(b)\cdot(a\cdot m)=\alpha_A(a_R)\cdot(b_R\cdot m),\quad \forall\, a\in A,\ b\in B,\ m\in M. \tag{4.17}$$

If this is the case, the left $A\otimes_R B$-module structure on M is given by

$$(a\otimes b)\cdot m=a\cdot(\alpha_B^{-1}(b)\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(m)),\quad \forall\, a\in A,\ b\in B,\ m\in M. \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. If (M,α_M) is a unital left $A\otimes_R B$-module (with action denoted by $\cdot$), define actions of A and B on M by $a\cdot m=(a\otimes 1_B)\cdot m$ and $b\cdot m=(1_A\otimes b)\cdot m$. Obviously we have $1_A\cdot m=1_B\cdot m=\alpha_M(m)$, and the conditions (1.7) and (1.8) for the actions of A and B follow immediately from the ones corresponding to the action of $A\otimes_R B$. We need to prove (4.17). We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}((1_A\otimes b)(a\otimes 1_B))\cdot\alpha_M(m) &= (1_Aa_R\otimes b_R1_B)\cdot\alpha_M(m)\\ &= (\alpha_A(a_R)\otimes\alpha_B(b_R))\cdot\alpha_M(m)\\ &\overset{(4.16)}{=} ((a_R\otimes 1_B)(1_A\otimes b_R))\cdot\alpha_M(m).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}((1_A\otimes b)(a\otimes 1_B))\cdot\alpha_M(m) &= (1_A\otimes\alpha_B(b))\cdot((a\otimes 1_B)\cdot m)\\ &= \alpha_B(b)\cdot(a\cdot m),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}((a_R\otimes 1_B)(1_A\otimes b_R))\cdot\alpha_M(m) &= (\alpha_A(a_R)\otimes 1_B)\cdot((1_A\otimes b_R)\cdot m)\\ &= \alpha_A(a_R)\cdot(b_R\cdot m),\end{aligned}$$

so we obtain $\alpha_B(b)\cdot(a\cdot m)=\alpha_A(a_R)\cdot(b_R\cdot m)$. Finally, to prove (4.18), we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}a\cdot(\alpha_B^{-1}(b)\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(m)) &= (a\otimes 1_B)\cdot((1_A\otimes\alpha_B^{-1}(b))\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(m))\\ &\overset{(1.8)}{=} ((\alpha_A^{-1}(a)\otimes 1_B)(1_A\otimes\alpha_B^{-1}(b)))\cdot m\\ &\overset{(4.16)}{=} (a\otimes b)\cdot m.\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that (M,α_M) is a unital left A-module and a unital left B-module and (4.17) holds, and define an action of $A\otimes_R B$ on M by $(a\otimes b)\cdot m=a\cdot(\alpha_B^{-1}(b)\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(m))$. We have

$$(1_A\otimes 1_B)\cdot m=1_A\cdot(1_B\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(m))=1_A\cdot m=\alpha_M(m).$$

We prove (1.7):

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_M((a\otimes b)\cdot m) &= \alpha_M(a\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(b\cdot m))\\ &\overset{(1.7)}{=} \alpha_A(a)\cdot(b\cdot m)\\ &= \alpha_A(a)\cdot(\alpha_B^{-1}(\alpha_B(b))\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(\alpha_M(m)))\\ &= (\alpha_A(a)\otimes\alpha_B(b))\cdot\alpha_M(m)\\ &= (\alpha_A\otimes\alpha_B)(a\otimes b)\cdot\alpha_M(m).\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove (1.8):

$$\begin{aligned}
((a\otimes b)(a'\otimes b'))\cdot\alpha_M(m) &= (aa'_R\otimes b_Rb')\cdot\alpha_M(m)\\
&= (aa'_R)\cdot([\alpha_B^{-1}(b_R)\alpha_B^{-1}(b')]\cdot m)\\
&\overset{(1.8)}{=} (aa'_R)\cdot(b_R\cdot(\alpha_B^{-1}(b')\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(m)))\\
&\overset{(1.8)}{=} \alpha_A(a)\cdot(a'_R\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(b_R\cdot(\alpha_B^{-1}(b')\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(m))))\\
&\overset{(1.7)}{=} \alpha_A(a)\cdot(a'_R\cdot(\alpha_B^{-1}(b_R)\cdot(\alpha_B^{-2}(b')\cdot\alpha_M^{-2}(m))))\\
&\overset{(4.17)}{=} \alpha_A(a)\cdot(b\cdot(\alpha_A^{-1}(a')\cdot(\alpha_B^{-2}(b')\cdot\alpha_M^{-2}(m))))\\
&\overset{(1.7)}{=} \alpha_A(a)\cdot(b\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(a'\cdot(\alpha_B^{-1}(b')\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(m))))\\
&= (\alpha_A(a)\otimes\alpha_B(b))\cdot(a'\cdot(\alpha_B^{-1}(b')\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(m)))\\
&= (\alpha_A\otimes\alpha_B)(a\otimes b)\cdot((a'\otimes b')\cdot m),
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

Corollary 4.11 *Let $D\bowtie H$ be a Hom-diagonal crossed product such that D is unital and $h\cdot 1_D=1_D\cdot h=\varepsilon_H(h)1_D$, $\forall\ h\in H$. If M is a linear space and $\alpha_M: M\to M$ a bijective linear map, then (M,α_M) is a unital left $D\bowtie H$-module if and only if (M,α_M) is a unital left D-module and a unital left H-module (actions denoted by $\cdot$) such that, $\forall\ h\in H,\ d\in D,\ m\in M$:*

$$\alpha_H(h)\cdot(d\cdot m)=[(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1)\cdot\alpha_D^{-1}(d))\cdot\alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}((h_2)_2))]\cdot(\alpha_H^{-2}((h_2)_1)\cdot m),\quad(4.19)$$

and if this is the case then we have

$$(d\bowtie h)\cdot m=d\cdot(\alpha_H^{-1}(h)\cdot\alpha_M^{-1}(m)),\quad\forall\ d\in D,\ h\in H,\ m\in M.\quad(4.20)$$

5 Left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules

Definition 5.1 *([39]) Let (C,Δ_C,α_C) be a Hom-coassociative coalgebra, M a linear space and $\alpha_M: M\to M$ a linear map. A* right C-comodule *structure on (M,α_M) consists of a linear map $\rho: M\to M\otimes C$ satisfying the following conditions:*

$$(\alpha_M\otimes\alpha_C)\circ\rho=\rho\circ\alpha_M,\quad(5.1)$$
$$(\alpha_M\otimes\Delta_C)\circ\rho=(\rho\otimes\alpha_C)\circ\rho.\quad(5.2)$$

We usually denote $\rho(m)=m_{(0)}\otimes m_{(1)}$. If C is counital, then (M,α_M) is called counital *if $\varepsilon_C(m_{(1)})m_{(0)}=\alpha_M(m)$, for all $m\in M$. If (M,α_M) and (N,α_N) are right C-comodules, a morphism of right C-comodules $f: M\to N$ is a linear map with $\alpha_N\circ f=f\circ\alpha_M$ and $f(m)_{(0)}\otimes f(m)_{(1)}=f(m_{(0)})\otimes m_{(1)}$, for all $m\in M$.*

The concept of left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module over a Hom-bialgebra was introduced in [38]. Similarly one can introduce left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules. Since we will be interested here to work over Hom-Hopf algebras, we will impose unitality conditions and bijectivity of structure maps in the definition.

Definition 5.2 *Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, 1_H, \varepsilon_H, S)$ be a Hom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and bijective α_H. Let M be a linear space and $\alpha_M : M \to M$ a bijective linear map. Then (M, α_M) is called a left-right Yetter-Drinfeld module over H if (M, α_M) is a unital left H-module (action denoted by $\cdot$) and a counital right H-comodule (coaction denoted by $m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)} \in M \otimes H$) satisfying the following compatibility condition, for all $h \in H$, $m \in M$:*

$$\alpha_H(h_1) \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes \alpha_H^2(h_2)\alpha_H(m_{(1)}) = (h_2 \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h_2 \cdot m)_{(1)}\alpha_H^2(h_1). \tag{5.3}$$

We denote by ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$ the category whose objects are left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H, morphisms being linear maps that are morphisms of left H-modules and right H-comodules.

Remark 5.3 *Similarly to what happens for left-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules (see [38]), left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules over Hopf algebras become, via the "twisting procedure", left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules over Hom-Hopf algebras.*

Remark 5.4 *Similarly to what happens for Hopf algebras, one can prove that condition (5.3) is equivalent to (for all $h \in H$, $m \in M$)*

$$(h \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h \cdot m)_{(1)} = \alpha_H^{-1}((h_2)_1) \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes [\alpha_H^{-2}((h_2)_2)\alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(1)})]S^{-1}(h_1). \tag{5.4}$$

Similarly to what we have proved in [38] for left-left Yetter-Drinfeld modules, one can prove the following result:

Proposition 5.5 *Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, 1_H, \varepsilon_H, S)$ be a Hom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and bijective α_H.*
(i) If (M, α_M) and (N, α_N) are objects in ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$, then $(M \otimes N, \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_N)$ becomes an object in ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$ (denoted in what follows by $M \hat{\otimes} N$) with structures

$$H \otimes (M \otimes N) \to M \otimes N, \quad h \otimes (m \otimes n) \mapsto h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n,$$
$$M \otimes N \to (M \otimes N) \otimes H, \quad m \otimes n \mapsto (m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)}) \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}(n_{(1)}m_{(1)}).$$

(ii) (k, id_k) is an object in ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$, with action and coaction defined by $h \cdot \lambda = \varepsilon_H(h)\lambda$ and $\lambda_{(0)} \otimes \lambda_{(1)} = \lambda \otimes 1_H$, for all $\lambda \in k$.
(iii) ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$ is a braided monoidal category, with tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$, unit (k, id_k), associativity constraints, unit constraints and braiding and its inverse defined (for all (M, α_M), (N, α_N), (P, α_P) in ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$ and $m \in M$, $n \in N$, $p \in P$, $\lambda \in k$) by

$$a_{M,N,P} : (M \hat{\otimes} N) \hat{\otimes} P \to M \hat{\otimes} (N \hat{\otimes} P), \quad a_{M,N,P}((m \otimes n) \otimes p) = \alpha_M^{-1}(m) \otimes (n \otimes \alpha_P(p)),$$
$$l_M : k \hat{\otimes} M \to M, \quad l_M(\lambda \otimes m) = \lambda\alpha_M^{-1}(m),$$
$$r_M : M \hat{\otimes} k \to M, \quad r_M(m \otimes \lambda) = \lambda\alpha_M^{-1}(m),$$
$$c_{M,N} : M \hat{\otimes} N \to N \hat{\otimes} M, \quad c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = \alpha_N^{-1}(n_{(0)}) \otimes \alpha_M^{-1}(\alpha_H^{-1}(n_{(1)}) \cdot m),$$
$$c_{M,N}^{-1} : N \hat{\otimes} M \to M \hat{\otimes} N, \quad c_{M,N}^{-1}(n \otimes m) = \alpha_M^{-1}(\alpha_H^{-1}(S(n_{(1)})) \cdot m) \otimes \alpha_N^{-1}(n_{(0)}).$$

The proof of the following result is straightforward and is left to the reader.

Proposition 5.6 *Let $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, 1_H, \varepsilon_H, S)$ be a Hom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and bijective α_H. Consider the unital Hom-associative algebra H^*, with multiplication and structure map defined by*

$$(f \bullet g)(h) = f(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1))g(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_2)), \quad \forall\, f, g \in H^*,\ h \in H,$$

$$\beta : H^* \to H^*, \quad \beta(f)(h) = f(\alpha_H^{-1}(h)), \quad \forall\ f \in H^*,\ h \in H.$$

(i) If (M, α_M) is a counital right H-comodule, with coaction $m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$, then (M, α_M) becomes a unital left H^*-module, with action $f \cdot m = f(m_{(1)})m_{(0)}$, for all $f \in H^*$, $m \in M$.
(ii) Assume that H is moreover finite dimensional. If (M, α_M) is a unital left H^*-module (action denoted by $\cdot$), then (M, α_M) becomes a counital right H-comodule, with coaction defined by $M \to M \otimes H$, $m \mapsto \sum_i e^i \cdot m \otimes e_i$, where $\{e_i\}$, $\{e^i\}$ is a pair of dual bases in H and H^* (of course, the coaction does not depend on the choice of the dual bases).

Let again $(H, \mu_H, \Delta_H, \alpha_H, 1_H, \varepsilon_H, S)$ be a Hom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and bijective α_H. From now on, we will denote by H^* the unital H-bimodule Hom-algebra $H^*_{0,0}$ (notation as in Example 3.8), whose unit is ε_H, multiplication $\bullet$, structure map β and H-actions

$$\begin{aligned} &\rightharpoonup : H \otimes H^* \to H^*, \quad (h \rightharpoonup f)(h') = f(\alpha_H^{-2}(h')h), \\ &\leftharpoonup : H^* \otimes H \to H^*, \quad (f \leftharpoonup h)(h') = f(h\alpha_H^{-2}(h')). \end{aligned}$$

For $f \in H^*$ and $h \in H$, we will also denote $f(h) = \langle f, h\rangle$.

To simplify notation in the proofs of the next results, we will use the following form of Sweedler-type notation:

$$\begin{aligned} &h_1 \otimes (h_2)_1 \otimes (h_2)_2 = h_1 \otimes h_{21} \otimes h_{22}, \\ &h_1 \otimes ((h_2)_1)_1 \otimes ((h_2)_1)_2 \otimes (h_2)_2 = h_1 \otimes h_{211} \otimes h_{212} \otimes h_{22}, \quad etc... \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.7 *We have a functor $F : {}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H \to {}_{H^*\bowtie H}\mathcal{M}$, given by $F((M, \alpha_M)) = (M, \alpha_M)$ at the linear level, with $H^* \bowtie H$-action defined by*

$$(f \bowtie h) \cdot m = \langle f, (\alpha_H^{-1}(h) \cdot \alpha_M^{-1}(m))_{(1)}\rangle(\alpha_H^{-1}(h) \cdot \alpha_M^{-1}(m))_{(0)},$$

for all $f \in H^*$, $h \in H$, $m \in M$. On morphisms, F acts as identity.

Proof. Let $(M, \alpha_M) \in {}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$. Since M is a unital right H-comodule, it becomes a unital left H^*-module. By Corollary 4.11, the only thing we need to prove in order to have (M, α_M) a unital left $H^* \bowtie H$-module with the prescribed action is the compatibility condition

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_H(h) \cdot (f(m_{(1)})m_{(0)}) \quad = \quad &\langle(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \rightharpoonup \alpha_H^*(f)) \leftharpoonup \alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(h_{22})), (\alpha_H^{-2}(h_{21}) \cdot m)_{(1)}\rangle \\ &(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_{21}) \cdot m)_{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in H^*$, $h \in H$, $m \in M$. We compute the right hand side as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} RHS \quad &= \quad \langle\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1) \rightharpoonup \alpha_H^*(f), \alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(h_{22}))\alpha_H^{-2}((\alpha_H^{-2}(h_{21}) \cdot m)_{(1)})\rangle(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_{21}) \cdot m)_{(0)} \\ &= \quad \langle\alpha_H^*(f), \alpha_H^{-4}(S^{-1}(h_{22})(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_{21}) \cdot m)_{(1)})\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1)\rangle(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_{21}) \cdot m)_{(0)} \\ &= \quad \langle f, \alpha_H^{-3}(S^{-1}(h_{22})(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_{21}) \cdot m)_{(1)})\alpha_H^{-1}(h_1)\rangle(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_{21}) \cdot m)_{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

By replacing h with $\alpha_H^2(h)$, it turns out that we need to prove the following relation:

$$\alpha_H^3(h) \cdot (f(m_{(1)})m_{(0)}) = \langle f, [\alpha_H^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_{22}))\alpha_H^{-3}((h_{21} \cdot m)_{(1)})]\alpha_H(h_1)\rangle(h_{21} \cdot m)_{(0)}.$$

Note first that, by repeatedly applying (1.11), we obtain

$$h_1 \otimes h_{211} \otimes h_{2121} \otimes h_{2122} \otimes h_{22} = h_1 \otimes \alpha_H(h_{21}) \otimes \alpha_H(h_{221}) \otimes h_{2221} \otimes \alpha_H^{-2}(h_{2222}). \quad (5.5)$$

Now we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle f, [\alpha_H^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_{22}))\alpha_H^{-3}((h_{21}\cdot m)_{(1)})]\alpha_H(h_1)\rangle (h_{21}\cdot m)_{(0)} \\
&\overset{(5.4)}{=} \langle f, \{\alpha_H^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_{22}))[(\alpha_H^{-5}(h_{2122})\alpha_H^{-4}(m_{(1)}))\alpha_H^{-3}(S^{-1}(h_{211}))]\}\alpha_H(h_1)\rangle \\
&\quad \alpha_H^{-1}(h_{2121})\cdot m_{(0)} \\
&\overset{Hom-assoc.}{=} \langle f, \{[\alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(h_{22}))(\alpha_H^{-5}(h_{2122})\alpha_H^{-4}(m_{(1)}))]\alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(h_{211}))\}\alpha_H(h_1)\rangle \\
&\quad \alpha_H^{-1}(h_{2121})\cdot m_{(0)} \\
&\overset{Hom-assoc.}{=} \langle f, \{[(\alpha_H^{-3}(S^{-1}(h_{22}))\alpha_H^{-5}(h_{2122}))\alpha_H^{-3}(m_{(1)})]\alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(h_{211}))\}\alpha_H(h_1)\rangle \\
&\quad \alpha_H^{-1}(h_{2121})\cdot m_{(0)} \\
&\overset{(5.5)}{=} \langle f, \{[(\alpha_H^{-5}(S^{-1}(h_{2222}))\alpha_H^{-5}(h_{2221}))\alpha_H^{-3}(m_{(1)})]\alpha_H^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_{21}))\}\alpha_H(h_1)\rangle \\
&\quad h_{221}\cdot m_{(0)} \\
&\overset{(4.14)}{=} \langle f, \{[\varepsilon_H(h_{222})1_H\alpha_H^{-3}(m_{(1)})]\alpha_H^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_{21}))\}\alpha_H(h_1)\rangle h_{221}\cdot m_{(0)} \\
&= \langle f, \{\alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(1)})\alpha_H^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_{21}))\}\alpha_H(h_1)\rangle \alpha_H(h_{22})\cdot m_{(0)} \\
&\overset{(1.11)}{=} \langle f, \{\alpha_H^{-2}(m_{(1)})\alpha_H^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_{12}))\}h_{11}\rangle \alpha_H^2(h_2)\cdot m_{(0)} \\
&\overset{Hom-assoc.}{=} \langle f, \alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(1)})\{\alpha_H^{-1}(S^{-1}(h_{12}))\alpha_H^{-1}(h_{11})\}\rangle \alpha_H^2(h_2)\cdot m_{(0)} \\
&\overset{(4.14)}{=} \langle f, \alpha_H^{-1}(m_{(1)})\varepsilon_H(h_1)1_H\rangle \alpha_H^2(h_2)\cdot m_{(0)} \\
&= \langle f, m_{(1)}\rangle \alpha_H^3(h)\cdot m_{(0)},
\end{aligned}$$

and this is exactly what we wanted to prove. The fact that morphisms in ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$ become morphisms in ${}_{H^*\bowtie H}\mathcal{M}$ is easy to prove and is left to the reader. □

Proposition 5.8 *If H is finite dimensional, then we have a functor $G: {}_{H^*\bowtie H}\mathcal{M} \to {}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$, given by $G((M,\alpha_M)) = (M,\alpha_M)$ at the linear level, and H-action and H-coaction on M:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&h\cdot m = (\varepsilon_H \bowtie h)\cdot m, \\
&M \to M\otimes H, \quad m \mapsto (e^i \bowtie 1_H)\cdot m \otimes e_i := m_{(0)}\otimes m_{(1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_i\}$, $\{e^i\}$ is a pair of dual bases in H and H^*. On morphisms, G acts as identity.

Proof. It is obvious that, for $(M,\alpha_M) \in {}_{H^*\bowtie H}\mathcal{M}$, $G(M)$ is a unital left H-module and a counital right H-comodule (the coaction is obtained from the left H^*-action, which in turn is obtained by restricting the $H^*\bowtie H$-action). We need to prove the Yetter-Drinfeld compatibility condition (5.3). Note first that by (1.11) we have (for all $h\in H$)

$$h_{11}\otimes h_{121}\otimes h_{122}\otimes h_2 = \alpha_H(h_1)\otimes \alpha_H(h_{21})\otimes h_{221}\otimes \alpha_H^{-2}(h_{222}). \tag{5.6}$$

Note also that, by applying on an element in H on the first tensor component, one can see that

$$\beta((h \rightharpoonup \alpha_H^{*2}(e^i)) \leftharpoonup g)\otimes e_i = \beta(e^i)\otimes (g\alpha_H^{-2}(e_i))\alpha_H^2(h), \tag{5.7}$$

for all $h,g\in H$. Now we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_H(h_1)\cdot m_{(0)}\otimes \alpha_H^2(h_2)\alpha_H(m_{(1)})\\
&= (\varepsilon_H \bowtie \alpha_H(h_1))\cdot((e^i\bowtie 1_H)\cdot m)\otimes \alpha_H^2(h_2)\alpha_H(e_i)\\
&\overset{(1.8)}{=} ((\varepsilon_H\bowtie h_1)(e^i\bowtie 1_H))\cdot \alpha_M(m)\otimes \alpha_H^2(h_2)\alpha_H(e_i)\\
&= \{\beta((\alpha_H^{-3}(h_{11})\rightharpoonup {\alpha_H^*}^2(e^i))\leftharpoonup \alpha_H^{-3}(S^{-1}(h_{122})))\bowtie \alpha_H^{-1}(h_{121})\}\cdot\alpha_M(m)\\
&\quad \otimes\alpha_H^2(h_2)\alpha_H(e_i)\\
&\overset{(5.7)}{=} (\beta(e^i)\bowtie \alpha_H^{-1}(h_{121}))\cdot\alpha_M(m)\otimes \alpha_H^2(h_2)\{[\alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(h_{122}))\alpha_H^{-1}(e_i)]h_{11}\}\\
&\overset{Hom-assoc.}{=} (\beta(e^i)\bowtie \alpha_H^{-1}(h_{121}))\cdot\alpha_M(m)\otimes \{\alpha_H(h_2)[\alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(h_{122}))\alpha_H^{-1}(e_i)]\}\alpha_H(h_{11})\\
&\overset{Hom-assoc.}{=} (\beta(e^i)\bowtie \alpha_H^{-1}(h_{121}))\cdot\alpha_M(m)\otimes \{[h_2\alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(h_{122}))]e_i\}\alpha_H(h_{11})\\
&\overset{(5.6)}{=} (\beta(e^i)\bowtie h_{21})\cdot\alpha_M(m)\otimes \{[\alpha_H^{-2}(h_{222})\alpha_H^{-2}(S^{-1}(h_{221}))]e_i\}\alpha_H^2(h_1)\\
&\overset{(4.14)}{=} (\beta(e^i)\bowtie h_{21})\cdot\alpha_M(m)\otimes (\varepsilon_H(h_{22})1_He_i)\alpha_H^2(h_1)\\
&= (\beta(e^i)\bowtie \alpha_H(h_2))\cdot\alpha_M(m)\otimes \alpha_H(e_i)\alpha_H^2(h_1)\\
&\overset{(4.20)}{=} \beta(e^i)\cdot(h_2\cdot m)\otimes\alpha_H(e_i)\alpha_H^2(h_1)\\
&= (h_2\cdot m)_{(0)}\otimes (h_2\cdot m)_{(1)}\alpha_H^2(h_1),
\end{aligned}
$$

where for the last equality we used the fact that $\{\alpha_H(e_i)\}$ and $\{\beta(e^i)\}$ is also a pair of dual bases. So indeed $M\in {}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$. We leave to the reader to prove that morphisms in ${}_{H^*\bowtie H}\mathcal{M}$ become morphisms in ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$. □

Since it is obvious that the functors F and G are inverse to each other, we obtain:

Theorem 5.9 *If H is a finite dimensional Hom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and bijective structure map, the categories ${}_{H^*\bowtie H}\mathcal{M}$ and ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$ are isomorphic.*

6 The Drinfeld double

We recall first a variation of a result in [38]:

Theorem 6.1 *([38]) Let $(H,\mu_H,\Delta_H,\alpha_H,1_H,\varepsilon_H,R)$ be a unital and counital quasitriangular Hom-bialgebra such that α_H is bijective and $(\alpha_H\otimes\alpha_H)(R)=R$. Then ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ is a prebraided monoidal category, with tensor product defined as in Proposition 1.8, unit (k,id_k) with action $h\cdot\lambda=\varepsilon_H(h)\lambda$ for all $h\in H$, $\lambda\in k$, associativity constraints defined by the same formula as the ones of the category ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$, i.e. $a_{M,N,P}=\alpha_M^{-1}\otimes id_N\otimes\alpha_P$, for $M,N,P\in {}_H\mathcal{M}$, and prebraiding defined by $c_{M,N}:M\otimes N\to N\otimes M$, $c_{M,N}(m\otimes n)=\alpha_N^{-1}(R^2\cdot n)\otimes\alpha_M^{-1}(R^1\cdot m)$, for all $M,N\in {}_H\mathcal{M}$.*

Let now $(H,\mu_H,\Delta_H,\alpha_H,1_H,\varepsilon_H,S)$ be a finite dimensional Hom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and bijective α_H. We will construct the Drinfeld double $D(H)$ of H, which will be a quasitriangular Hom-Hopf algebra.

As a Hom-associative algebra, $D(H)$ is the Hom-diagonal crossed product $H^*\bowtie H$. So, its unit is $\varepsilon_H\bowtie 1_H$, its structure map is $\beta\otimes\alpha_H$ and its multiplication is defined by

$$(f\bowtie h)(f'\bowtie h')=f\bullet[(\alpha_H^{-3}(h_1)\rightharpoonup {\alpha_H^*}^2(f'))\leftharpoonup\alpha_H^{-3}(S^{-1}(h_{22}))]\bowtie\alpha_H^{-2}(h_{21})h',$$

for all $f, f' \in H^*$ and $h, h' \in H$, where $\beta = {\alpha_H^*}^{-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(f \bullet g)(h) = f(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_1))g(\alpha_H^{-2}(h_2)),\\
&\rightharpoonup : H \otimes H^* \to H^*, \quad (h \rightharpoonup f)(h') = f(\alpha_H^{-2}(h')h),\\
&\leftharpoonup : H^* \otimes H \to H^*, \quad (f \leftharpoonup h)(h') = f(h\alpha_H^{-2}(h')).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.9, the category ${}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$, which is a braided monoidal category. We transfer the structure from ${}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$ to ${}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M}$ and then to $D(H)$. We obtain thus the following result:

Theorem 6.2 *$D(H)$ is a quasitriangular Hom-Hopf algebra and we have an isomorphism of braided monoidal categories ${}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M} \simeq {}_H\mathcal{Y}D^H$. The structure of $D(H)$ is the following.*

Its counit is $\varepsilon(f \bowtie h) = f(1_H)\varepsilon_H(h)$, for all $f \in H^*$, $h \in H$.

Its comultiplication is defined by

$$\Delta : D(H) \to D(H) \otimes D(H), \quad \Delta(f \bowtie h) = (f_2 \circ \alpha_H^{-2} \bowtie h_1) \otimes (f_1 \circ \alpha_H^{-2} \bowtie h_2),$$

where we denoted $\mu_H^* : H^* \to H^* \otimes H^*$, the dual of μ_H, defined by $\mu_H^*(f) = f_1 \otimes f_2$ if and only if $f(hh') = f_1(h)f_2(h')$, for all $h, h' \in H$.

The quasitriangular structure is the element

$$R = \sum_i (\varepsilon_H \bowtie \alpha_H^{-1}(e_i)) \otimes (e^i \bowtie 1_H) \in D(H) \otimes D(H),$$

where $\{e_i\}$, $\{e^i\}$ is a pair of dual bases in H and H^*. It satisfies the extra condition $((\beta \otimes \alpha_H) \otimes (\beta \otimes \alpha_H))(R) = R$.

The antipode of $D(H)$ is given by the formula

$$S_{D(H)}(f \bowtie h) = (\varepsilon_H \bowtie S(\alpha_H^{-1}(h)))(f \circ \alpha_H \circ S^{-1} \bowtie 1_H), \quad \forall\, f \in H^*,\ h \in H.$$

Proof. We leave most of the details to the reader. Let us note that in order to prove (1.26), one has to prove first that $\Delta^{cop}(\varepsilon_H \bowtie h)R = R\Delta(\varepsilon_H \bowtie h)$ and $\Delta^{cop}(f \bowtie 1_H)R = R\Delta(f \bowtie 1_H)$, for all $f \in H^*$, $h \in H$. Let us prove one of the two properties of the antipode, namely

$$(f \bowtie h)_1 S_{D(H)}((f \bowtie h)_2) = f(1_H)\varepsilon_H(h)\varepsilon_H \bowtie 1_H.$$

Note that as a consequence of the Hom-associativity of H we have

$$(ab)(cd) = \alpha_H(a)(\alpha_H^{-1}(bc)d), \quad \forall\, a, b, c, d \in H. \tag{6.1}$$

Now we compute:

$(f \bowtie h)_1 S_{D(H)}((f \bowtie h)_2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (f_2 \circ \alpha_H^{-2} \bowtie h_1) S_{D(H)}(f_1 \circ \alpha_H^{-2} \bowtie h_2)\\
&= [(f_2 \circ \alpha_H^{-1} \bowtie 1_H)(\varepsilon_H \bowtie \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1))][(\varepsilon_H \bowtie S(\alpha_H^{-1}(h_2)))(f_1 \circ \alpha_H^{-1} \circ S^{-1} \bowtie 1_H)]\\
&\overset{(6.1)}{=} (f_2 \circ \alpha_H^{-2} \bowtie 1_H)[(\beta^{-1} \otimes \alpha_H^{-1})((\varepsilon_H \bowtie \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1))(\varepsilon_H \bowtie S(\alpha_H^{-1}(h_2))))\\
&\qquad (f_1 \circ \alpha_H^{-1} \circ S^{-1} \bowtie 1_H)]\\
&= (f_2 \circ \alpha_H^{-2} \bowtie 1_H)[(\beta^{-1} \otimes \alpha_H^{-1})(\varepsilon_H \bowtie \alpha_H^{-1}(h_1 S(h_2)))(f_1 \circ \alpha_H^{-1} \circ S^{-1} \bowtie 1_H)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overset{(4.8)}{=} \quad \varepsilon_H(h)(f_2 \circ \alpha_H^{-2} \bowtie 1_H)(f_1 \circ \alpha_H^{-2} \circ S^{-1} \bowtie 1_H) \\
&= \quad \varepsilon_H(h)((f_2 \circ \alpha_H^{-2}) \bullet (f_1 \circ \alpha_H^{-2} \circ S^{-1}) \bowtie 1_H) \\
&\overset{(4.14)}{=} \quad f(1_H)\varepsilon_H(h)\varepsilon_H \bowtie 1_H,
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

References

[1] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, C. Gomez, G. Sierra, *Quantum group interpretation of some conformal field theories*, Phys. Lett. B **220**(1-2), 142–152 (1989).

[2] N. Aizawa, H. Sato, *q-deformation of the Virasoro algebra with central extension*, Phys. Lett. B **256**(1), 185–190 (1991). N. Aizawa, H. Sato, Hiroshima University preprint HUPD-9012 (1990).

[3] F. Ammar, Z. Ejbehi, A. Makhlouf, *Cohomology and deformations of Hom-algebras*, J. Lie Theory **21**(4), 813–836 (2011).

[4] P. Bieliavsky, P. Bonneau, Y. Maeda, *Universal deformation formulae, symplectic Lie groups and symmetric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **230**, 41–57 (2007).

[5] P. Bieliavsky, P. Bonneau, Y. Maeda, *Universal deformation formulae for three-dimensional solvable Lie groups*, in "Quantum field theory and noncommutative geometry", 127–141, Lecture Notes in Phys., **662**, Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[6] P. Bonneau, M. Gerstenhaber, A. Giaquinto, D. Sternheimer, *Quantum groups and deformation quantization: Explicit approaches and implicit aspects*, J. Math. Phys. **45**, 3703–3741 (2004).

[7] P. Bonneau, D. Sternheimer, *Topological Hopf algebras, quantum groups and deformation quantization*, in "Hopf algebras in noncommutative geometry and physics", 55–70, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **239**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2005.

[8] D. Bulacu, F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, *Generalized diagonal crossed products and smash products for quasi-Hopf algebras. Applications*, Comm. Math. Phys. **266**, 355–399 (2006).

[9] S. Caenepeel, I. Goyvaerts, *Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras*, Comm. Algebra **39**, 2216–2240 (2011).

[10] A. Cap, H. Schichl, J. Vanzura, *On twisted tensor products of algebras*, Comm. Algebra **23**, 4701–4735 (1995).

[11] M. Chaichian, D. Ellinas, Z. Popowicz, *Quantum conformal algebra with central extension*, Phys. Lett. B **248**(1-2), 95–99 (1990).

[12] M. Chaichian, A. P. Isaev, J. Lukierski, Z. Popowi, P. Prevnajder, *q-deformations of Virasoro algebra and conformal dimensions*, Phys. Lett. B **262**(1), 32–38 (1991).

[13] M. Chaichian, P. Kulish, J. Lukierski, *q-deformed Jacobi identity, q-oscillators and q-deformed infinite-dimensional algebras*, Phys. Lett. B **237**(3-4), 401–406 (1990).

[14] M. Chaichian, Z. Popowicz, P. Prevnajder, *q-Virasoro algebra and its relation to the q-deformed KdV system*, Phys. Lett. B **249**(1), 63–65 (1990).

[15] Y. Chen, L. Zhang, *The category of Yetter-Drinfel'd Hom-modules and the quantum Hom-Yang-Baxter equation,* J. Math. Phys. **55**, 031702 (2014).

[16] Y. Chen, Z. Wang, L. Zhang, *Integrals for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras and their applications,* J. Math. Phys. **54**, 073515 (2013).

[17] M. Ciungu, F. Panaite, *L-R-smash products and L-R-twisted tensor products of algebras*, Algebra Colloq. **21**(1), 129–146 (2014).

[18] T. L. Curtright, C. K. Zachos, *Deforming maps for quantum algebras,* Phys. Lett. B **243**(3), 237–244 (1990).

[19] E. V. Damaskinsky, P. P. Kulish, *Deformed oscillators and their applications* (Russian), Zap. Nauch. Semin. LOMI **189**, 37–74 (1991); Engl. transl. in J. Soviet Math. **62**(5), 2963–2986 (1992).

[20] C. Daskaloyannis, *Generalized deformed Virasoro algebras*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **7**(9), 809–816 (1992).

[21] M. Elhamdadi, A. Makhlouf, *Hom-quasi-bialgebras*, Contemp. Math. **585** (Eds. N. Andruskiewitch, J. Cuadra and B. Torrecillas), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2013).

[22] Y. Fregier, A. Gohr, S. D. Silvestrov, *Unital algebras of Hom-associative type and surjective or injective twistings*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **3**(4), 285–295 (2009).

[23] A. Gohr, *On Hom-algebras with surjective twisting*, J. Algebra **324**, 1483–1491 (2010).

[24] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, S. D. Silvestrov, *Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations*, J. Algebra **295**, 314–361 (2006).

[25] F. Hausser, F. Nill, *Diagonal crossed products by duals of quasi-quantum groups*, Rev. Math. Phys. **11**, 553–629 (1999).

[26] N. Hu, *q-Witt algebras, q-Lie algebras, q-holomorph structure and representations*, Algebra Colloq. **6**(1), 51–70 (1999).

[27] C. Kassel, *Cyclic homology of differential operators, the Virasoro algebra and a q-analogue*, Commun. Math. Phys. **146**, 343–351 (1992).

[28] P. Jara Martínez, J. López Peña, F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, *On iterated twisted tensor products of algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **19**, 1053–1101 (2008).

[29] D. Larsson, S. D. Silvestrov, *Quasi-Hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities*, J. Algebra **288**, 321–344 (2005).

[30] K. Q. Liu, *Characterizations of the quantum Witt algebra*, Lett. Math. Phys. **24**(4), 257–265 (1992).

[31] L. Liu, B. Shen, *Radford's biproducts and Yetter-Drinfeld modules for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras,* J. Math. Phys. **55**, 031701 (2014).

[32] J. López Peña, F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, *General twisting of algebras*, Adv. Math. **212**, 315–337 (2007)

[33] A. Makhlouf, *Paradigm of nonassociative Hom-algebras and Hom-superalgebras*, Proceedings of the "Jordan Structures in Algebra and Analysis" Meeting (Eds. J. Carmona Tapia, A. Morales Campoy, A. M. Peralta Pereira, M. I. Ramirez Ilvarez), Publishing House: Circulo Rojo, 145–177 (2010).

[34] A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **2**(2), 51–64 (2008).

[35] A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, *Notes on formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras*, Forum Math. **22**(4), 715–759 (2010).

[36] A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, *Hom-Lie admissible Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras*, Published as Chapter 17, pp. 189–206, in "Generalized Lie theory in Mathematics, Physics and Beyond" (Eds. S. Silvestrov, E. Paal, V. Abramov, A. Stolin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2008).

[37] A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, *Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras*, J. Algebra Appl. **9**(4), 553–589 (2010).

[38] A. Makhlouf, F. Panaite, *Yetter-Drinfeld modules for Hom-bialgebras*, J. Math. Phys. **55**, 013501 (2014).

[39] A. Makhlouf, F. Panaite, *Twisting operators, twisted tensor products and smash products for Hom-associative algebras*, arXiv:math.QA/1402.1893.

[40] F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, *L-R-smash product for (quasi-)Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **309**, 168–191 (2007).

[41] R. D. Schafer, "An introduction to nonassociative algebras", Academic Press, New York and London, 1966.

[42] A. Van Daele, S. Van Keer, *The Yang–Baxter and Pentagon equation*, Compositio Math. **91**, 201–221 (1994).

[43] D. Yau, *Module Hom-algebras*, e-Print arXiv:0812.4695 (2008).

[44] D. Yau, *Hom-bialgebras and comodule Hom-algebras*, Int. E. J. Algebra. **8**, 45–64 (2010).

[45] D. Yau, *Hom-algebras and homology*, J. Lie Theory **19**, 409–421 (2009).

[46] D. Yau, *Hom-quantum groups I: Quasitriangular Hom-bialgebras*, J. Phys. A **45**(6), 065203, 23 pp (2012).

[47] D. Yau, *Hom-quantum groups II: Cobraided Hom-bialgebras and Hom-quantum geometry*, e-Print arXiv:0907.1880 (2009)

[48] D. Yau, *Hom-quantum groups III: Representations and module Hom-algebras*, e-Print arXiv:0911.5402 (2009).

[49] D. Yau, *Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras and quasitriangular bialgebras*, J. Phys. A **42**(16), 165202, 12 pp (2009).